



Deep Learning-Based Prediction of Cutting Temperature in CNC Hard Turning: A Comprehensive Review

Nguyen Quang Hung¹
hungnguyen@tnut.edu.vn

Nguyen Duy Truong^{2,*}
truongckctm@tnut.edu.vn
1,2 – Thai Nguyen University of Technology
* Corresponding author

Abstract

Cutting temperature is one of the most critical factors influencing tool wear, surface integrity, and machining performance in CNC hard turning. Traditional analytical and empirical approaches are limited in capturing nonlinear thermo-mechanical interactions. Recent advances in Deep Learning enable accurate prediction using multi-sensor data. This paper presents a comprehensive review of cutting temperature prediction methods, focusing on deep learning approaches. Mechanisms of heat generation, measurement techniques, and AI-based models are discussed. Future directions for intelligent machining are also identified.

Keywords: CNC hard turning, Deep Learning, Cutting temperature

I. Introduction

CNC hard turning has emerged as an alternative to grinding for machining hardened steels due to its flexibility and efficiency. However, the process generates extremely high temperatures, often exceeding 800°C [1].

Cutting temperature strongly affects tool wear, residual stress, and surface integrity [2,7]. High temperatures accelerate diffusion and oxidation wear mechanisms, reducing tool life [5].

Traditional prediction approaches include analytical models, empirical models, and numerical simulations such as finite element analysis [3,4]. However, these approaches often fail to capture nonlinear interactions among machining variables.

Recent developments in Artificial Intelligence have enabled data-driven modeling. In particular, deep learning models demonstrate superior capability in predicting cutting temperature [15,17].

II. Fundamentals of Cutting Temperature

2.1 Heat Generation Mechanisms

Heat is generated in three zones: primary shear zone, secondary deformation zone, and tertiary zone [5].

Studies show that 60–80% of heat is carried away by the chip, while the rest is absorbed by the tool and workpiece [1].

2.2 Factors Affecting Cutting Temperature

Cutting temperature is influenced by:

- Cutting speed (dominant factor) [8]
- Feed rate and depth of cut [9]
- Tool material and coating [7]
- Workpiece hardness [6]

Higher cutting speed leads to increased friction and plastic deformation, resulting in higher temperature [8].

III. Temperature Measurement Techniques

3.1 Direct Methods

Thermocouples and infrared thermography are widely used for temperature measurement [4]. Infrared methods provide non-contact measurement but require calibration.

3.2 Indirect Methods

Indirect estimation uses sensor signals such as force, vibration, and acoustic emission [23]. These signals correlate with cutting temperature and are suitable for predictive modeling.

IV. Signal Processing and Feature Engineering

Signal processing is essential for extracting meaningful features from raw sensor data.

4.1 Time-Domain Features

Common features include RMS, mean, and variance [23].

4.2 Frequency-Domain Features

FFT-based features help identify dominant frequencies related to machining dynamics [24].

4.3 Time-Frequency Analysis

Wavelet transform and EMD are widely used for non-stationary signals [25].

V. AI-Based Prediction Methods

5.1 Machine Learning Methods

ANN models are widely used for predicting cutting temperature [11,12]. SVM models provide good generalization performance for small datasets [14].

Ensemble methods such as Random Forest improve prediction accuracy [13].

5.2 Deep Learning Methods

5.2.1 CNN

CNN models extract spatial features from signal representations such as spectrograms [16].

5.2.2 LSTM

LSTM models capture temporal dependencies in machining signals [17].

5.2.3 Hybrid CNN-LSTM

Hybrid models combine spatial and temporal learning, achieving the highest accuracy [18,30].

5.2.4 Advanced Models

Transformer-based models have recently been applied for machining prediction tasks [27,28].

5.3 Multi-Sensor Data Fusion

Combining multiple sensor signals significantly improves prediction accuracy [20,21].

VI. Comparative Analysis

Deep learning models outperform traditional approaches in most cases.

- ANN: medium accuracy [11]
- SVM: robust performance [14]
- CNN/LSTM: high accuracy [16,17]
- CNN-LSTM: highest accuracy (>97%) [18]

VII. Discussion

Deep learning methods show strong potential for predicting cutting temperature. However, challenges remain:

- Data scarcity
- Noise in industrial environments
- High computational cost
- Limited generalization [21,29]

VIII. Future Research Directions

Future research should focus on:

- Digital twin integration [29]
- Edge AI for real-time prediction [19]

- Transfer learning [13]
- Explainable AI

IX. Conclusion

Deep learning-based methods significantly improve cutting temperature prediction in CNC hard turning. Hybrid models provide the best performance. Further research is needed to enhance robustness and industrial applicability.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Thai Nguyen University of Technology for the financial support and research facilities provided for this study. The support from TNUT has been instrumental in enabling the successful completion of this research.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Byrne, G., Dornfeld, D., Denkena, B., Advancing cutting technology, *CIRP Annals*, 52(2), 483–507, 2003.
- [2]. Ning, J., Liang, S.Y., Predictive modeling of machining temperatures with force–temperature correlation, *Materials*, 12(2), 284, 2019.
- [3]. Karpap, Y., Özel, T., Predictive analytical and thermal modeling of orthogonal cutting, *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 128(2), 435–444, 2006.
- [4]. Davies, M.A., Ueda, T., M'Saoubi, R., Mullany, B., Cooke, A.L., On the measurement of temperature in material removal processes, *CIRP Annals*, 56(2), 581–604, 2007.
- [5]. Abukhshim, N.A., Mativenga, P.T., Sheikh, M.A., Heat generation and temperature prediction in machining, *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 46(7–8), 782–800, 2006.
- [6]. Pham, M.D., et al., Analyzing cutting temperature in hard turning processes, *Applied Sciences*, 15(2), 983, 2025.
- [7]. Sharma, V.S., Dogra, M., Suri, N.M., Cooling techniques for improved productivity in turning, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 209(10), 4533–4541, 2009.
- [8]. Özel, T., Karpap, Y., Predictive modeling of surface roughness and temperature in hard turning, *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 45(4–5), 467–479, 2005.
- [9]. Davoodi, B., Tazehkandi, A.H., Experimental study on cutting temperature and tool wear, *Wear*, 267(9–10), 1884–1889, 2009.
- [10]. Pervaiz, S., Deiab, I., Darras, B., Sustainable machining and cutting temperature analysis, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18(8), 825–831, 2010.
- [11]. Kara, F., Aslantas, K., Cicek, A., Prediction of cutting temperature using artificial neural networks, *Applied Soft Computing*, 38, 64–74, 2016.
- [12]. Sharma, S., Dogra, M., Suri, N.M., Modeling of cutting temperature using response surface and ANN, *Measurement*, 94, 872–882, 2016.
- [13]. Li, H., He, H., Wen, S., Machine learning-based prediction of machining parameters, *Measurement*, 121, 376–384, 2018.
- [14]. Zhang, Z., Liu, Z., Ai, X., Prediction of machining temperature using support vector machines, *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 78(5–8), 1025–1035, 2015.
- [15]. Wang, Y., Ma, H., Yang, J., Wang, K., Industry 4.0: A way from mass customization to mass personalization production, *Sensors*, 19(14), 3110, 2019.
- [16]. Lee, S., et al., Deep learning-based prediction of cutting force in machining, *Pattern Analysis and Applications*, 26, 1013–1025, 2023.
- [17]. Shao, H., Jiang, H., Zhang, X., Niu, M., Rolling bearing fault diagnosis using deep learning, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 135, 106–121, 2020.
- [18]. Li, Z., Liu, Z., Gao, R.X., Tool wear prediction using deep learning and sensor signals, *Wear*, 478–479, 203902, 2021. Arumugam, B., et al., Hybrid machine learning approaches for cutting temperature prediction, *Lubricants*, 13(11), 498, 2025.
- [19]. Teti, R., Jemielniak, K., O'Donnell, G., Dornfeld, D., Advanced monitoring of machining operations, *CIRP Annals*, 59(2), 717–739, 2010.
- [20]. Denkena, B., et al., Condition monitoring in machining, *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, 9, 1–17, 2014.
- [21]. Altintas, Y., *Manufacturing Automation: Metal Cutting Mechanics, Machine Tool Vibrations, and CNC Design*, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [22]. Dimla, D.E., Sensor signals for tool wear monitoring, *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 40(8), 1073–1098, 2000.
- [23]. Jemielniak, K., Commercial tool condition monitoring systems, *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 15, 711–721, 1999.
- [24]. Tönshoff, H.K., et al., Process monitoring in machining, *CIRP Annals*, 37(2), 611–622, 1988.
- [25]. Zhou, L., et al., Deep learning-based prediction methods in manufacturing, *IEEE Access*, 8, 123–135, 2020.
- [26]. Gao, X., et al., Transformer-based prediction models in machining, *Measurement*, 202, 111–120, 2023.
- [27]. Xu, Y., et al., Deep learning applications in smart manufacturing, *CIRP Annals*, 73(1), 2024.
- [28]. Chen, X., et al., Artificial intelligence-based prediction in machining systems, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 68, 2023.
- [29]. Kumar, A., et al., Hybrid deep learning models in machining processes, *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, 36, 2022.