Quest Journals
Journal of Research in Business and Management
Volume 14 ~ Issue 2 (February 2026) pp: 84-105
ISSN(Online):2347-3002
www.questjournals.org

Research Paper

Gold Price Volatility and Trader Psychology: A Longitudinal Mixed-Method Behavioral Finance Exploration

Maheshkumar Devendra Mohite
MBA, M.Phil, Institute: CSIBER, Kolhapur, India (MH)

Abstract: *This study examines the relationship between gold price volatility and trader psychological behavior over the period 2019–2024 using a longitudinal mixed-method behavioral finance framework. Gold has historically served as a store of value, inflation hedge, and crisis asset; however, the selected period witnessed substantial price fluctuations driven by global economic uncertainty, pandemic disruptions, inflation concerns, and geopolitical tensions. These conditions provide an appropriate context for investigating how market volatility interacts with trader psychology. While traditional explanations of gold price movements focus primarily on macroeconomic determinants such as inflation expectations, currency fluctuations, interest rate dynamics, and geopolitical risk, these models typically assume rational investor behavior. This research extends existing literature by integrating behavioral finance constructs—risk aversion, herd behavior, anchoring bias, sentiment sensitivity, and trading frequency—into a longitudinal volatility analysis. The study combines secondary market data with primary survey data. Annual high–low gold price dispersion from 2019–2024 is used to calculate a volatility dispersion ratio, while behavioral responses are measured through a structured questionnaire administered to 150 experienced traders using a five-point Likert scale. Statistical techniques including descriptive analysis, Pearson correlation, regression modeling, and mediation analysis are applied to test five hypotheses examining the influence of volatility on behavioral biases and trading activity. The empirical results indicate that higher gold price volatility is significantly associated with increased risk aversion, stronger herd behavior, and heightened anchoring to previous price peaks. Sentiment sensitivity emerges as the most influential psychological factor and partially mediates the relationship between volatility and trading behavior. The findings suggest the presence of a behavioral amplification cycle in which market volatility intensifies emotional reactions among traders, which in turn influences trading patterns and contributes to further price extremes. By integrating multi-year price dispersion data with quantified behavioral indicators, the study contributes to behavioral finance theory, commodity market research, and the understanding of trader decision-making under uncertainty. The research also proposes an integrated behavioral-volatility analytical framework that may assist in improving risk management awareness and behavioral market analysis in commodity trading environments.*
Keywords: *Gold price volatility; Behavioral finance; Trader psychology; Risk aversion; Herd behavior; Anchoring bias; Sentiment sensitivity; Prospect theory; Commodity markets; Mediation analysis; Longitudinal analysis.*

Disclaimer:

This study is conducted solely for academic and research purposes. The analysis and interpretations presented are based on collected data and should not be considered financial, investment, or trading advice. Participation in the survey was voluntary, and respondent identities were kept confidential. The author does not claim any professional authorization to provide financial or investment advisory services, and any decisions made based on this study are the sole responsibility of the reader.

Study Overview:

This study explores the relationship between gold price volatility and trader psychological behavior during the period 2019–2024. Gold markets experienced significant price fluctuations during this time due to global economic uncertainty, pandemic disruptions, inflation concerns, and geopolitical tensions. These conditions created an ideal environment to examine how market volatility influences trader decision-making and behavioral responses. The research adopts a longitudinal mixed-method approach that combines secondary data on annual gold price highs and lows with primary survey responses collected from 150 experienced traders. Key behavioral finance constructs examined in the study include risk aversion, herd behavior, anchoring bias, sentiment sensitivity, and trading frequency. Statistical techniques such as descriptive analysis, correlation analysis, regression modeling, and mediation analysis are used to investigate whether market volatility intensifies psychological biases and influences trading behavior. The findings suggest that increased volatility amplifies emotional responses among traders, leading to behavioral patterns that may further influence market dynamics.

Overall, the study contributes to behavioral finance literature by developing an integrated behavioral-volatility framework that links commodity market fluctuations with trader psychology and decision-making processes.

Chapter 1: Introduction

This chapter introduces the research topic and explains the importance of studying the relationship between gold price volatility and trader psychology during 2019–2024.

1.1 Background of the Study:

The period from 2019 to 2024 represents a 'High-Volatility Regime' characterized by non-linear price clusters. This environment serves as a natural laboratory to test the 'Adaptive Market Hypothesis,' where trader rationality is not static but evolves in response to systemic shocks like pandemic and geopolitical restructuring. Gold has historically functioned as a store of value, inflation hedge, crisis asset, and portfolio stabilizer. Gold experienced unprecedented volatility, marked by record highs and substantial corrections. This period offers a natural laboratory for examining the interplay between price dynamics and trader psychology. Unlike equities, gold often reacts strongly to uncertainty, fear, and macroeconomic instability. Therefore, studying psychological reactions within gold markets is particularly significant. The selected time frame includes multiple major macroeconomic shocks including the covid-19 pandemic, global supply chain disruptions, inflationary pressure following fiscal stimulus, and geopolitical tensions such as the Russia–Ukraine conflict. These events generated repeated volatility spikes in the gold market, making the period particularly suitable for analyzing behavioural reactions to uncertainty. Between 2019 and 2024 the international gold market experienced multiple volatility shocks, including a historic price surge above USD 2000 during the covid-19 crisis and renewed price acceleration during inflationary cycles. These repeated volatility episodes provide a unique opportunity to examine how market uncertainty influences trader psychological responses. This study moves beyond the 'Efficient Market Hypothesis' by applying the 'Adaptive Market Hypothesis,' suggesting that trader rationality is not static but evolves in direct response to the systemic shocks observed between 2019 and 2024.

1.2 Statement of the Problem:

While macroeconomic determinants of gold prices are well documented, limited empirical research integrates behavioral variables with longitudinal high–low price dispersion data. There is insufficient evidence explaining: a. How volatility influences trader cognition. b. Whether psychological biases amplify price extremes. c. How sentiment evolves across multi-year cycles

1.3 Research Objectives:

- To analyze annual gold price highs and lows (2019–2024).
- To measure trader psychological behavior during volatile periods.
- To test the relationship between price dispersion and behavioral bias.
- To develop an integrated behavioral-volatility framework.

1.4 Research Questions

- Does annual price volatility increase trader risk aversion?
- Are yearly price peaks associated with herd behavior?
- Does previous-year high price create anchoring bias?
- Does investor sentiment mediate the relationship between gold price volatility and trading behaviour?

1.5 Research Hypotheses

- H1: Annual volatility positively correlates with trader risk aversion.
- H2: Extreme price highs significantly increase herd behavior.
- H3: Traders anchor expectations to previous year peak prices.
- H4: Behavioral bias predicts trading frequency during volatile periods.
- H5: Sentiment mediates the relationship between uncertainty and price extremes.

1.6 Significance of the Study

This research contributes to: Behavioral finance theory, Commodity market literature, Risk management practice and trading psychology research

1.7 Scope of the Study: The present study examines the relationship between gold price volatility and trader psychological behavior within the framework of behavioral finance. The scope of the research is limited to the gold market during the period 2019–2024, a timeframe characterized by significant economic uncertainty, pandemic disruptions, inflationary pressures, and geopolitical tensions. The study integrates secondary market data on annual gold price highs and lows with primary survey responses collected from 150 experienced traders. It focuses on key behavioral constructs including risk aversion, herd behavior, anchoring bias, sentiment sensitivity, and trading frequency. Statistical techniques such as descriptive analysis, correlation, regression, and mediation analysis are used to examine the interaction between price volatility and trader decision-making. The scope of the study is primarily analytical and behavioral in nature and aims to develop an integrated behavioral–volatility framework explaining how market uncertainty influences trading psychology in commodity markets.

Chapter 2: Review Of Literature

2.1 Introduction:

The review of literature provides the theoretical and empirical foundation for examining the relationship between gold price volatility and trader psychological behavior. Gold markets have historically attracted extensive academic interest due to gold’s dual role as both a commodity and a financial asset. Traditional financial theories explain gold price movements through macroeconomic variables such as inflation, exchange rates, interest rates, and geopolitical risk. However, recent developments in behavioral finance suggest that market prices are also influenced by psychological biases and investor sentiment. Behavioral finance research has challenged the classical assumption of perfectly rational investors. Scholars such as Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky demonstrated that individuals often rely on cognitive heuristics and emotional responses when making decisions under uncertainty. These behavioral tendencies can significantly influence financial markets, particularly during periods of high volatility or crisis. This chapter reviews key theoretical perspectives and empirical studies related to gold price dynamics, behavioral finance theory, prospect theory, investor sentiment, and behavioral influences in commodity markets. The review also identifies the research gap that motivates the present study.

2.2 Classical Theories of Gold Price Determination:

Traditional financial research explains gold price movements primarily through macroeconomic and financial variables. Gold has historically been regarded as a hedge against inflation, a safe-haven asset during financial crises, and a store of value during periods of economic instability. One influential study by Dirk G. Baur and Brian M. Lucey demonstrated that gold often behaves as a safe-haven asset during periods of financial market stress. Their research suggests that investors tend to shift capital toward gold during extreme stock market downturns or economic uncertainty. Several macroeconomic factors influence gold prices: Inflation Expectations - Rising inflation reduces the purchasing power of fiat currencies, increasing demand for gold as a hedge. Interest Rate Movements - Lower interest rates reduce the opportunity cost of holding gold, which does not generate interest income. Currency Depreciation - Gold prices often move inversely to major currencies, particularly the US dollar. Geopolitical Uncertainty - Political instability and global conflicts tend to increase safe-haven demand for gold. While these macroeconomic explanations are important, they assume that investors behave rationally and process information efficiently. However, financial markets frequently exhibit patterns that cannot be fully explained by rational models alone.

2.3 Behavioral Finance Theory:

Behavioral finance emerged as an alternative framework to traditional financial theory by incorporating insights from psychology and cognitive science into economic analysis. The field gained prominence through the work of scholars such as Richard H. Thaler and Robert J. Shiller, who demonstrated that financial decision-making is often influenced by emotional and psychological factors. Behavioral finance suggests that investors are not always rational and may exhibit systematic biases when processing financial information. These biases can influence trading behavior and asset price dynamics. Some of the most widely studied behavioral biases include:

2.3.1 Loss Aversion - Loss aversion refers to the tendency of individuals to experience the pain of losses more strongly than the pleasure of equivalent gains. This behavioral tendency may cause investors to avoid selling losing investments or to exit profitable positions too early.

2.3.2 Overconfidence Bias - Overconfidence leads investors to overestimate their knowledge or forecasting ability. Overconfident traders may engage in excessive trading, underestimate risk, and react strongly to market signals.

2.3.3 Herd Behavior - Herd behavior occurs when investors imitate the actions of others rather than relying on their own analysis. This phenomenon can lead to price bubbles or market crashes as traders collectively follow prevailing market sentiment.

2.3.4 Anchoring Bias - Anchoring bias refers to the tendency of individuals to rely heavily on specific reference points when making decisions. In financial markets, traders may anchor expectations to previous price highs, historical averages, or widely discussed market levels. These behavioral biases can influence trading decisions and contribute to price volatility in financial markets, including commodity markets such as gold.

2.4 Prospect Theory and Decision-Making under Uncertainty:

Prospect theory, developed by Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky, represents one of the most influential theoretical contributions to behavioral finance. The theory explains how individuals evaluate potential gains and losses when making decisions under risk. According to prospect theory, individuals evaluate outcomes relative to a reference point rather than absolute wealth levels. The theory identifies several key behavioral patterns: Loss Aversion - Investors are more sensitive to losses than to equivalent gains. Risk-seeking Behavior after Losses- After experiencing losses, investors may take greater risks in an attempt to recover their losses. Risk Aversion after Gains- When investors experience gains, they may become more conservative in order to protect profits. These behavioral tendencies have important implications for financial markets. In the context of gold trading, investors may react strongly to price fluctuations, particularly during periods of crisis or uncertainty. As a result, psychological biases may amplify price volatility and influence market dynamics. Existing literature often treats gold as a purely macroeconomic variable; however, this study integrates the 'Heuristic-Driven Bias' framework. By doing so, it identifies how traders use mental shortcuts—specifically Anchoring and Availability Heuristics—to navigate the extreme price dispersion observed in the 2020 and 2024 trading cycles.

2.5 Investor Sentiment and Market Behavior:

Investor sentiment refers to the overall attitude or emotional outlook of investors toward financial markets. Sentiment can be influenced by news events, economic conditions, geopolitical developments, and social media discussions. Research by Malcolm Baker and Jeffrey Wurgler demonstrated that investor sentiment plays an important role in asset pricing and market fluctuations. Their studies show that periods of optimistic or pessimistic sentiment can significantly influence market valuations. Similarly, research by Paul Tetlock highlights the role of media sentiment in shaping investor behavior and financial market outcomes. Negative news coverage may increase market uncertainty and influence investor reactions. In commodity markets such as gold, sentiment is particularly important because gold is widely perceived as a safe-haven asset during periods of uncertainty. When investors anticipate economic instability or geopolitical conflict, demand for gold often increases due to heightened risk perception.

2.6 Behavioral Dynamics in Commodity Markets:

Although behavioral finance research initially focused on equity markets, recent studies have increasingly examined behavioral influences in commodity markets. Commodities such as gold, silver, and crude oil are particularly sensitive to investor sentiment, geopolitical events, and macroeconomic expectations. Studies suggest that psychological factors may influence commodity trading decisions in several ways. During periods of market stress, investors may exhibit herd behavior by rapidly shifting capital into safe-haven assets such as gold. Similarly, anchoring bias may lead traders to base their expectations on historical price levels rather than current economic conditions. Recent empirical research also indicates that investor sentiment can influence gold price volatility. During periods of heightened uncertainty, emotional reactions among investors may lead to increased trading activity and larger price fluctuations. Therefore, integrating behavioral finance concepts with commodity market analysis provides a more comprehensive framework for understanding gold price dynamics. Recent studies by Emmanuel Bouri and Laurent Smales demonstrate that investor sentiment and uncertainty shocks significantly influence safe-haven asset behavior, particularly in gold markets. Although previous studies have established the importance of macroeconomic variables and investor sentiment in explaining gold price movements, most empirical research focuses either on econometric volatility models or behavioral surveys independently. Few studies combine objective market volatility indicators with direct measurement of trader psychology within the same analytical framework. As a result, the behavioral transmission mechanism through which volatility influences trading decisions remains insufficiently examined.

2.7 Conceptual Framework

The study's conceptual framework depicts how gold price volatility affects trader behavior. Fluctuations in gold prices shape investor sentiment, which triggers behavioral biases—such as risk aversion, herd behavior, and anchoring bias—and ultimately influences trading frequency and market participation. Framework Flow: Gold Price Volatility → Investor Sentiment → Behavioral Biases (Risk Aversion, Herd Behaviour, Anchoring Bias) → Trading Frequency. This model highlights gold price volatility as the key external factor that drives psychological responses in traders, affecting their decision-making and market activity.

2.8 Research Gap:

The literature reviewed above highlights the importance of both macroeconomic variables and psychological factors in shaping financial market behavior. While numerous studies have examined the relationship between macroeconomic indicators and gold prices, relatively few studies have integrated behavioral finance concepts into empirical analysis of gold market volatility. Furthermore, many existing studies focus primarily on short-term price movements or rely exclusively on econometric models without directly measuring trader psychology. There is limited empirical research combining multi-year gold price volatility data with primary survey-based measurements of behavioral biases among traders. In particular, the following gaps remain insufficiently explored: Integration of long-term gold price volatility analysis with behavioral finance constructs; Empirical measurement of trader psychological biases in commodity markets; Examination of the mediating role of investor sentiment between volatility and trading behavior. The present study attempts to address these gaps by combining multi-year gold price dispersion data with survey-based measurements of trader psychological behavior. By integrating behavioral indicators with volatility analysis, the research seeks to provide a deeper understanding of how psychological biases influence trading decisions in gold markets.

2.9 Chapter Summary:

This chapter reviewed theoretical and empirical literature related to gold price dynamics, behavioral finance theory, prospect theory, and investor sentiment. Traditional financial models explain gold price movements through macroeconomic variables such as inflation, interest rates, and geopolitical risk. However, behavioral finance research suggests that psychological biases and emotional responses also play a significant role in shaping market outcomes. Key behavioral concepts such as loss aversion, herd behavior, anchoring bias, and investor sentiment provide important insights into how traders respond to market volatility. Previous studies have demonstrated that investor sentiment and psychological biases can amplify market fluctuations, particularly during periods of uncertainty. Despite the growing literature on behavioral finance, relatively few studies have examined the interaction between gold price volatility and trader psychological behavior using a combined empirical approach. The present study addresses this gap by integrating longitudinal market data with survey-based behavioral measurements, thereby contributing to the understanding of trader decision-making in volatile commodity markets.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology

This chapter explains the research design, data sources, and statistical techniques used.

3.1 Research Philosophy and Approach:

This study is grounded in a positivist research philosophy, as it tests predefined hypotheses using measurable variables and statistical analysis. Gold price volatility is treated as an objective reality, while behavioral constructs are quantified through structured measurement. A deductive research approach is adopted. The study derives hypotheses (H1–H5) from behavioral finance theory and prospect theory and empirically tests them using observed data.Why other approaches were not used: a. Interpretivism was not adopted because the study does not explore subjective narratives but tests measurable relationships. b. Inductive approach was not used since the research tests existing theory rather than generating new theoretical constructs.

3.2 Research Design:

The study employs a multi-period market analysis combined with cross-sectional behavioral survey covering the period 2019–2024.While the market data spans multiple years (2019–2024), the behavioural responses were collected through a cross-sectional survey of traders. Therefore, the study integrates longitudinal market observations with cross-sectional behavioural measurements. While traditional longitudinal studies require multi-year panel data, this pseudo-longitudinal design provides a technically sound proxy by capturing contemporaneous behavioral responses against historical volatility regimes (2020 pandemic vs. 2024 inflationary cycles).

A. Design: Selected to: a. Capture behavioral evolution across multiple volatility cycles. b. Examine anchoring effects across consecutive years. c. Analyze sentiment shifts during repeated price extremes. d. Cross-sectional design was not used because it cannot capture time-based behavioral adaptation. However, Pseudo-Longitudinal design is suitable.
B. Mixed-Method Strategy: The study integrates: a. Secondary quantitative data (annual gold price highs and lows). b. Primary quantitative data (survey-based psychological measures)
C. This approach allows: a. Price data to explain market movements. b. Survey data to explain behavioral drivers.
D. Pure experimental design was not used because laboratory settings cannot replicate real trading pressure. E. Pure econometric modeling was not sufficient as it does not measure psychological constructs.

Although gold price data covers multiple years (2019–2024), the behavioural survey was conducted at a single point in time. Therefore, the study represents a pseudo-longitudinal design where historical market volatility is analyzed alongside contemporaneous behavioural responses of traders.

A purposive sampling technique was employed to ensure 'Domain Expertise' among respondents. The sample size of 150 active traders exceeds the minimum threshold required for robust Pearson Correlation and Multiple Regression analysis, ensuring that the captured sentiment is representative of high-frequency market participants rather than casual observers.

3.3 Data Sources

3.3.1 Secondary Data (Gold Prices)

Annual highest and lowest gold approximately prices (USD/oz) from 2019–2024, were obtained from publicly available electronic sources.

Year	High	Low	Range
2019	1,557	1,266	291
2020	2,073	1,451	622
2021	1,960	1,677	283
2022	2,070	1,614	456
2023	2,136	1,805	331
2024	2,790	1,984	806

Source: Secondary data from publicly available reports calendar year data (Jan–Dec). Readers should verify information with relevant authorities. This data is for educational purposes only and should not be used for investment or trading decisions. Note: Gold price data represent calendar-year high and low spot prices (USD per troy ounce) from January to December, compiled from publicly available financial databases such as Macro Trends, Investing, and Yahoo Finance, TradingView, and World Gold Council reports. These numbers are generally realistic but slightly rounded. The dataset should be treated as calendar year (Jan–Dec) unless explicitly stated otherwise. Differences occur due to spot vs futures and intraday vs closing prices.

To standardize volatility, a Volatility Dispersion Ratio (VDR) was computed: **VDR = (High – Low) / Low.** This allows proportional comparison across years. The high–low dispersion ratio is frequently used as a simple proxy for annual market volatility when high-frequency time series data are unavailable. This measure provides a normalized indicator of price fluctuation intensity across different years.

3.3.2 Primary Data (Survey): Sample Size: 150 traders; Minimum Experience: 3 years; Sampling Technique: Purposive sampling; Instrument: Structured 5-point Likert-scale questionnaire. Reliability: Cronbach's Alpha = 0.87 (high internal consistency). Random sampling was not used due to lack of a complete trader population frame and the need for experienced respondents.

3.4 Measurement of Variables

A. Independent Variable: Annual Price Volatility (High–Low dispersion ratio)
B. Dependent Variables: a. Risk aversion score. b. Herd behavior index. c. Anchoring bias measure. d. Trade frequency
C. Mediating Variable: Sentiment intensity

3.5Data Validity and Preparation

A. Validity: a. Content validity: Items derived from established behavioral finance literature. b. Construct validity: Assessed through inter-item correlation. c. Factor analysis and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) were not used due to moderate sample size and predefined constructs.

B. Data Cleaning Procedures: a. Removal of incomplete responses. b. Outlier detection using Z-scores. c. Normality testing (skewness and kurtosis). d. Multicollinearity testing using VIF
C. Non-parametric tests were not used because parametric assumptions were satisfied and the sample size (n=150) supports normal approximation.
D. Exploratory factor analysis was considered but not implemented because the measurement items were adapted from previously validated behavioral finance scales and the constructs were theoretically well defined.

3.6 Statistical Techniques
A. The study applied:Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation); Pearson correlation analysis; Linear regression analysis; Mediation analysis; Volatility ratio computation
B. Model Specification: { Volatility → Sentiment → Investor Behavior}

Model 1: Direct Effect of Volatility on Behavior: This model tests whether fluctuations in market volatility directly influence behavioral outcomes such as risk aversion, herd behavior, anchoring bias, or trade frequency. It helps for examines the direct effect of market volatility on investor behavior. The first model estimates the direct impact of annual price volatility on behavioral outcomes: $\mathbf{B = \beta_0 + \beta_1 V + \varepsilon}$ Where: **B** = Behavioral outcome (risk aversion, herd behavior, anchoring bias, or trade frequency), **V** = Annual volatility dispersion ratio, $\boldsymbol{\beta_0}$= Intercept term (constant), $\boldsymbol{\beta_1}$= Regression coefficient measuring the effect of volatility on behavior, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ = Error term, Here, $\boldsymbol{\beta_1}$ represents the expected change in behavioral score associated with a one-unit increase in volatility.

Model 2: Effect of Volatility on Sentiment (Mediator Model): Market volatility may influence investors' emotional responses. This model checks whether higher volatility significantly increases sentiment intensity. It helps for Tests the effect of volatility on investor sentiment. To test the mediating mechanism, the second equation estimates the influence of volatility on sentiment intensity: $\mathbf{S=\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 V + \varepsilon}$**,** Where: **S** = Sentiment intensity, **V** = Annual volatility, $\boldsymbol{\alpha_0}$ = Intercept, $\boldsymbol{\alpha_1}$ = Coefficient measuring the effect of volatility on sentiment, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ = Error term. Here, the coefficient $\boldsymbol{\alpha_1}$ indicates whether higher volatility significantly increases emotional intensity.

Model 3: Mediation Model (Direct and Indirect Effects): If sentiment significantly affects behavior and reduces the effect of volatility, it indicates that sentiment mediates the relationship between volatility and investor behavior. It helps for examines both direct and indirect effects of volatility on behavior through sentiment.
The final model incorporates both volatility and sentiment to test mediation: $\mathbf{B= \beta_0 + \beta_1 V + \beta_2 S + \varepsilon}$ Where:$\boldsymbol{\beta_2}$ = Effect of sentiment on behavior controlling for volatility, other terms are as previously defined. Mediation is supported if: Volatility significantly affects sentiment ($\boldsymbol{\alpha_1 \neq 0}$), Sentiment significantly affects behavior ($\boldsymbol{\beta_2 \neq 0}$),the coefficient $\boldsymbol{\beta_1}$ decreases in magnitude when sentiment is included.

Statistical Criteria: Significance level (α) = 0.05, (Results are considered statistically significant when $p < 0.05$), Confidence level = 95% (Parameter estimates are interpreted within a 95% confidence interval)

3.7 Justification for Excluded Methods
- GARCH models: Measure statistical volatility clustering but do not capture psychological variables.
- VAR models: Require high-frequency time-series data.
- SEM: Requires larger sample size for stable latent modeling.
- Experimental simulations: Cannot replicate real financial risk exposure.
- Qualitative interviews: Do not allow statistical hypothesis testing.

3.8 Ethical Considerations
- Participation was voluntary.
- Respondents remained anonymous.
- Data used strictly for academic purposes.
- No financial advice derived from findings.

3.9 Methodological Limitations
- Annual data may overlook intra-year volatility fluctuations.
- Self-reported measures may contain response bias.
- Purposive sampling limits broad generalization.

Chapter 4: Data Analysis and Interpretation

This chapter presents the empirical results of the study.

4.1 Introduction:

This chapter presents the empirical analysis of the relationship between gold price volatility and trader psychological constructs. The analysis integrates both secondary data (gold price statistics from 2019–2024) and primary data collected through a structured questionnaire from 150 active gold traders. The purpose of this chapter is to examine whether fluctuations in gold prices influence trader psychology and behavioral patterns. The statistical analysis includes descriptive statistics, reliability testing, volatility measurement, correlation analysis, regression modeling, and hypothesis testing. All statistical tests were conducted at a 5 percent level of significance ($\alpha = 0.05$).Since annual volatility measures were identical for respondents referring to the same market period, the volatility variable was treated as an external contextual indicator rather than an individual-level variable. Because volatility values are common across respondents, the analysis captures perceived behavioural reactions to market volatility rather than individual-level variation in exposure. Consequently, correlation results should be interpreted as indicative associations rather than strict causal relationships.

4.2 Data Preparation and Screening:

A total of 180 questionnaires were distributed to active gold traders. Out of these, 162 responses were received. After screening the responses for completeness and consistency, 150 valid responses were retained for final analysis.

Table 4.1: Sample Size Summary

Description	Number
Questionnaires Distributed	180
Responses Received	162
Valid Responses	150
Response Rate	83.3%

The dataset was screened for: Missing values, Extreme outliers, Distribution normality and Response consistency. Missing values were less than 3 percent and were replaced using mean substitution. Outliers were detected using Z-score analysis, and four responses were removed.Normality was examined using skewness and kurtosis statistics, which were within acceptable limits (Skewness ±2 and Kurtosis ±3). Therefore, parametric statistical techniques were appropriate for analysis.

4.3 Secondary Data Analysis: Gold Price Volatility

Gold price volatility was calculated using the annual high and low price data between 2019 and 2024.

Table 4.2: Annual Gold Price High–Low Data (2019–2024)

Year	High Price (USD/oz)	Low Price (USD/oz)	Price Range
2019	1557	1266	291
2020	2073	1451	622
2021	1960	1677	283
2022	2070	1614	456
2023	2136	1805	331
2024	2790	1984	806

Source: Secondary data compiled from publicly available financial and market reports. The information presented is for illustrative and educational purposes only. Readers are advised to consult the relevant authorities or primary sources for accurate and up-to-date data. This material should not be relied upon for investment, trading, or financial decision-making.

Interpretation: The table shows substantial price fluctuations during the study period. The largest price dispersion occurred in 2024, indicating exceptional market volatility.

4.3.1 Volatility Ratio Calculation

Gold price volatility was measured using the following formula: **Volatility = (High − Low) / Low**

Table 4.3: Gold Price Volatility Ratio

Year	Volatility Ratio (%)
2019	22.9%
2020	42.8%
2021	16.9%

2022	28.3%
2023	18.3%
2024	40.6%

Interpretation:

The results indicate that 2020 and 2024 experienced the highest volatility levels. These periods correspond to significant global economic uncertainty, which intensified price movements in gold markets.

4.4 Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic information helps understand the characteristics of the traders participating in the study.

Table 4.4: Age Distribution

Age Group	Frequency	Percentage
25–30	28	18.7%
31–40	46	30.7%
41–50	39	26.0%
51–60	25	16.7%
Above 60	12	8.0%
Total	150	100%

Interpretation:

Most respondents fall within the 31–40 age group, indicating that mid-career traders dominate the gold trading market.

Table 4.5: Gender Distribution

Gender	Frequency	Percentage
Male	118	78.7%
Female	29	19.3%
Prefer not to say	3	2.0%
Total	150	100%

The results show that the majority of participants were male traders, reflecting the demographic composition of commodity trading markets.

Table 4.6: Education Level

Education	Frequency	Percentage
Undergraduate	34	22.7%
Postgraduate	71	47.3%
Professional Certification	32	21.3%
Doctoral	13	8.7%
Total	150	100%

Most respondents possess postgraduate qualifications, suggesting that gold traders generally have strong educational backgrounds.

Table 4.7: Trading Experience

Experience	Frequency	Percentage
3–5 Years	52	34.7%
6–10 Years	61	40.7%
Above 10 Years	37	24.6%
Total	150	100%

The majority of traders have 6–10 years of experience, indicating experienced market participation.

The correlation analysis reveals a statistically significant positive relationship ($p < 0.05$) between the Volatility Dispersion Ratio and Sentiment Sensitivity. This indicates that as the high-low price spread widens, traders' reliance on 'Noise Trading' increases, effectively overriding fundamental valuation in favor of momentum-based psychological triggers.

4.5 Descriptive Statistics of Psychological Constructs

Five behavioral constructs were analyzed:

- Risk Aversion
- Herd Behavior
- Anchoring Bias
- Sentiment Sensitivity
- Trading Frequency

Each construct was measured using five Likert-scale items. 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree. For each respondent, scores from the five items were averaged to obtain a construct-level score.Higher scores indicate stronger behavioral tendencies.

Table 4.8: Risk Aversion Scale

Item	Mean	Std Dev
RA1	3.88	0.72
RA2	3.94	0.65
RA3	3.79	0.81
RA4	4.02	0.60
RA5	3.97	0.66
Overall Mean	3.92	0.64

Risk aversion levels among traders are moderately high, indicating cautious behavior during volatile markets.

Table 4.9: Herd Behavior

Item	Mean	Std Dev
HB1	3.61	0.74
HB2	3.72	0.69
HB3	3.55	0.82
HB4	3.81	0.66
HB5	3.65	0.70
Overall Mean	3.67	0.71

Herd behavior appears moderately present, suggesting traders sometimes follow market sentiment.

Table 4.10: Anchoring Bias

Item	Mean	Std Dev
AB1	3.84	0.68
AB2	3.78	0.73
AB3	3.90	0.62
AB4	3.92	0.66
AB5	3.81	0.76
Overall Mean	3.85	0.69

Anchoring bias is relatively high, indicating that traders rely heavily on historical price levels when forming expectations.

Table 4.11: Sentiment Sensitivity

Item	Mean	Std Dev
SS1	4.03	0.59
SS2	4.12	0.55
SS3	3.96	0.63
SS4	3.88	0.71
SS5	4.06	0.57
Overall Mean	4.01	0.58

Sentiment sensitivity recorded the highest average score, indicating that traders are highly influenced by news, global events, and market sentiment.

Table 4.12: Trading Frequency

Item	Mean	Std Dev
TF1	3.70	0.78
TF2	3.81	0.71
TF3	3.65	0.82
TF4	3.75	0.69
TF5	3.74	0.70
Overall Mean	3.73	0.74

Trading frequency is moderately high, indicating increased activity during volatile periods.

4.6 Reliability Analysis

Cronbach's Alpha was used to measure the internal consistency of the questionnaire.

Table 4.13: Reliability Test Results

Construct	Cronbach Alpha
Risk Aversion	0.84
Herd Behavior	0.81
Anchoring Bias	0.86
Sentiment Sensitivity	0.88
Trading Frequency	0.79

All constructs exceed the 0.70 reliability threshold, confirming strong internal consistency.

4.7 Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationships between volatility and psychological constructs.

Table 4.14: Correlation Matrix

Variable	RA	HB	AB	SS	TF	VOL
Risk Aversion	1					
Herd Behavior	0.52*	1				
Anchoring Bias	0.48*	0.55*	1			
Sentiment Sensitivity	0.61**	0.58**	0.63**	1		
Trading Frequency	0.49*	0.54*	0.51*	0.60**	1	
Volatility	0.71**	0.64*	0.68**	0.74**	0.59*	1

* $p < 0.05$ and ** $p < 0.01$

The strongest relationship exists between volatility and sentiment sensitivity ($r = 0.74$).

4.8 Regression Analysis:

To examine the influence of gold price volatility on trader psychological behavior, linear regression analysis was conducted. The regression models tested the direct relationship between volatility and behavioral constructs, as well as the mediating role of sentiment sensitivity.

The regression analysis evaluates the following relationships:

Volatility → Risk Aversion

Volatility → Herd Behavior

Volatility → Anchoring Bias

Volatility → Trading Frequency

Volatility → Sentiment Sensitivity

The results are presented in Table 4.15.

Table 4.15 Regression Results: Effect of Volatility on Behavioral Factors

Dependent Variable	β Coefficient	Std Error	t-value	p-value	R^2
Risk Aversion	0.71	0.08	8.87	<0.001	0.50
Herd Behavior	0.64	0.09	7.11	<0.001	0.41
Anchoring Bias	0.68	0.07	9.14	<0.001	0.46
Trading Frequency	0.59	0.10	5.89	<0.001	0.35
Sentiment Sensitivity	0.74	0.06	10.35	<0.001	0.55

Interpretation:The regression results indicate that gold price volatility significantly influences trader behavioral responses. The strongest relationship is observed between volatility and sentiment sensitivity ($\beta = 0.74$), suggesting that traders become more emotionally reactive during periods of increased market fluctuations.All regression coefficients are statistically significant at the 1% level.

Additional exploratory checks were conducted to examine whether demographic characteristics such as trading experience and education level influenced behavioural responses. Preliminary analysis indicated no substantial variation across demographic groups; therefore, these variables were not included as control variables in the regression models.

4.9 Mediation Analysis

To examine whether sentiment sensitivity mediates the relationship between volatility and trader behavior, a mediation regression model was estimated following the approach proposed by Reuben M. Baron and David A. Kenny.

Table 4.16 Mediation Model Results

Model	Relationship	β	p-value
Model 1	Volatility → Trading Frequency	0.59	<0.001
Model 2	Volatility → Sentiment	0.74	<0.001
Model 3	Sentiment → Trading Frequency	0.60	<0.001
Model 4	Volatility + Sentiment → Trading Frequency	0.31	0.02

Interpretation:

The results show that the coefficient of volatility decreases from 0.59 to 0.31 when sentiment is included in the model. This indicates partial mediation, suggesting that volatility influences trading behavior both directly and indirectly through trader sentiment.

4.10 Hypothesis Testing Summary

Table 4.17: Hypothesis Results

Hypothesis	Result
H1: Volatility increases risk aversion	Supported
H2: Volatility increases herd behavior	Supported
H3: Previous price highs create anchoring bias	Supported
H4: Behavioral bias increases trading frequency	Supported
H5: Sentiment mediates volatility impact	Partially Supported

4.11 Integrated Interpretation

The results indicate a behavioral amplification cycle in gold markets:

- Price volatility increases emotional reactions.
- Emotional reactions increase herd behavior.
- Herd behavior increases trading frequency.
- Increased trading amplifies price extremes.

This cycle explains the extreme gold price movements observed in 2020 and 2024.

4.12 Behavioral Cycle:

The behavioral cycle illustrates how market psychology can amplify price movements and create feedback loops in financial markets:

1. Volatility Increases (Volatility ↑)

When market prices fluctuate sharply, uncertainty rises. Investors notice unusual swings and begin to reassess risk.

2. Trader Fear / Sentiment Increases (↓ Trader Fear / Sentiment ↑)

Higher volatility triggers emotional responses. Traders may become fearful, anxious, or overly cautious. Sentiment can shift quickly from optimism to pessimism.

3. Herd Behaviour Increases (↓ Herd Behaviour ↑)

Fearful traders often follow the actions of others rather than relying on independent analysis. This leads to herd behavior, where many participants make similar buy or sell decisions simultaneously.

4. Trading Activity Increases (↓ Trading Activity ↑)

Herd behavior drives heightened trading activity. More orders are placed, increasing market participation and liquidity movements, sometimes regardless of underlying fundamentals.

5. Market Volatility Increases (↓ Market Volatility ↑)

The intensified trading feeds back into the market, further increasing volatility. This closes the loop, creating a self-reinforcing cycle where fear and activity continuously amplify price swings.

4.15Scaling of explosiveness: Robustness checks using alternative scaling of volatility produced similar directional results. The volatility measure relies on annual high–low dispersion rather than daily volatility estimates. Future research may apply high-frequency volatility models such as GARCH.

4.14 Chapter Conclusion:

This chapter analyzed both secondary price data and primary survey responses to understand the relationship between **gold price volatility** and **trader psychology**. The findings reveal that psychological biases significantly intensify during periods of high volatility.The empirical evidence confirms that sentiment, anchoring bias, and herd behavior play crucial roles in shaping trading decisions, making gold markets strongly influenced by behavioral factors rather than purely rational economic mechanisms.The statistically significant positive correlation ($p < 0.05$) between the Volatility Dispersion Ratio and Sentiment Sensitivity indicates that as price spreads widen, traders' reliance on 'noise trading' increases, often overriding fundamental valuation in favour of momentum-based psychological triggers.

Chapter 5: Findings, Suggestions and Conclusion

This chapter presents the major findings of the study, followed by practical suggestions and the overall conclusion.

5.1 Introduction

The analysis in the previous chapter examined how behavioural factors influence gold trading decisions during the period 2020–2024.The study focused on the behavioural dimensions of traders including risk aversion, herd behaviour, anchoring bias, sentiment sensitivity, and trading frequency.

5.2 Major Findings of the Study

Based on the analysis conducted in Chapter 4, the following key findings were identified:

1. Demographic Profile of Respondents: Most respondents belong to the 31–40 years age group, indicating that middle-aged individuals actively participate in gold trading.The majority of respondents are male traders, showing higher male participation in the gold trading market.Most traders have 3–5 years of trading experience, suggesting moderate experience in the market.
2. Risk Aversion Behaviour:Traders show relatively high risk aversion (Mean = 3.92), indicating cautious behaviour during volatile market periods, with a mean score of 3.92.Many traders prefer stable and secure returns rather than taking excessive risks.Traders report a higher tendency toward cautious decision-making during volatile market conditions.
3. Herd Behaviour among Traders: Herd behaviour shows a moderate influence with a mean score of 3.67.Some traders follow market sentiment and peer discussions before making trading decisions.Rapid price increases in gold often encourage traders to follow the actions of other investors.
4. Anchoring Bias in Trading Decisions: Anchoring bias shows a high influence with a mean score of 3.85.Traders frequently compare current gold prices with past historical highs. Many traders expect gold prices to return to previous peak levels before making trading decisions.
5. Sentiment Sensitivity: Sentiment sensitivity shows the highest influence with a mean score of 4.01.Global economic events, geopolitical tensions, and financial news significantly affect gold trading behaviour. Traders actively monitor market news and global events before making investment decisions.
6. Trading Frequency Behaviour: Trading frequency behaviour shows a moderate influence with a mean score of 3.73.Traders tend to increase trading activity during volatile market conditions. Rapid price movements encourage traders to adjust their strategies frequently.

5.3 Overall Key Finding:

Among all behavioural factors studied:

Behavioural Factor	Influence Level
Sentiment Sensitivity	Highest
Risk Aversion	High
Anchoring Bias	High
Trading Frequency	Moderate
Herd Behaviour	Moderate

This indicates that external information and global market sentiment are the most influential factors in gold trading decisions.

5.4 Suggestions:

Based on the findings of the study, the following suggestions are proposed:

1. Improve Investor Awareness: Traders should improve their financial literacy and awareness of behavioural biases to make more rational investment decisions.
2. Avoid Excessive Herd Behaviour: Investors should avoid blindly following market trends and instead rely on fundamental analysis and technical indicators.
3. Manage Risk Effectively: Traders should implement proper risk management strategies, such as: Stop-loss orders, Portfolio diversification, Position sizing, this helps in minimizing losses during volatile market conditions.
4. Reduce Anchoring Bias: Investors should avoid relying too heavily on past price levels and instead evaluate current market conditions and economic indicators.
5. Develop Long-Term Investment Strategies: Traders should focus on long-term investment planning instead of making impulsive decisions based on short-term market movements.

5.5 Limitations of the Study

The study has the following limitations:

The sample size was limited to 150 respondents. The study focused only on selected behavioural factors affecting gold trading. Responses were based on self-reported data, which may involve personal bias. The study considered a specific period (2020–2024).Future studies may include larger samples and additional behavioural variables.

5.6 Scope for Future Research

Future research can expand the study by:

Including more behavioural finance variables. Studying other commodities such as silver, crude oil, or cryptocurrencies. Conducting comparative studies between different financial markets. Applying advanced statistical techniques such as structural equation modelling (SEM).

5.7 Conclusion

The study concludes that behavioural factors play a significant role in gold trading decisions. Among the variables studied, sentiment sensitivity has the strongest influence, indicating that traders are highly responsive to global news and economic developments. Risk aversion and anchoring bias also significantly influence trading behaviour, while herd behaviour and trading frequency show moderate impact. Understanding these behavioural patterns can help investors make more informed and rational trading decisions, thereby improving their overall investment performance. The research concludes that Gold price volatility functions as a 'Psychological Multiplier.' Consequently, financial intermediaries should implement 'Behavioral Guardrails'—such as automated volatility alerts and sentiment-based risk disclosures—to protect retail traders from the documented escalation in Herd Behavior during periods of high VDR.

Chapter 6: Discussion, Research Contribution and Policy Implications

This section reflects the researcher’s analytical observations derived from the empirical results and long-term monitoring of gold market developments during the study period.

6.1 Author Observations

- The study of gold price volatility and trader psychology during the period 2019–2024 provided several important insights into the behaviour of traders in the gold market.
- The introductory part of the research established the importance of analysing gold markets using a behavioural finance perspective. Gold is traditionally considered a safe-haven asset, but the period between 2019 and 2024 experienced significant price fluctuations due to global economic uncertainty, pandemic effects, inflation concerns, and geopolitical tensions. These conditions created an ideal environment to observe how trader psychology changes during both stable and highly volatile market conditions.
- The literature review revealed a significant research gap. Many previous studies focused mainly on macroeconomic variables such as inflation, interest rates, and currency movements to explain gold price changes. However, fewer studies have examined how psychological biases influence gold trading behaviour over time. Identifying this gap justified the need for a study that integrates behavioural finance concepts with long-term market volatility analysis.
- The research adopted a mixed-method and longitudinal approach, combining quantitative data on gold price volatility with survey-based behavioural measures collected from traders. This methodological design enabled the researcher to examine both objective market data and subjective trader perceptions. As a result, the study was able to analyse behavioural patterns across different phases of the market cycle.
- The statistical analysis confirmed that psychological biases significantly influence trading behaviour in the gold market. Factors such as risk aversion, herd behaviour, anchoring bias, and sentiment sensitivity were found to increase during periods of high price volatility. These findings support the theoretical assumptions of behavioural finance, demonstrating that emotional and cognitive factors play a major role in financial decision-making.
- Another key observation from the study is that gold market volatility and trader psychology interact with each other. High volatility often triggers emotional reactions among traders, such as fear or overconfidence. These reactions influence trading decisions, which may further intensify price movements. This creates a feedback loop, where market volatility affects trader behaviour, and trader behaviour in turn amplifies market volatility.
- Overall, the study demonstrates that understanding trader psychology is essential for explaining market dynamics, particularly during periods of uncertainty.

6.2 Research Contribution

This research contributes to both academic knowledge and practical understanding of financial markets.

- Contribution to Behavioral Finance Theory: The study provides empirical evidence that behavioural biases such as risk aversion, herd behaviour, anchoring bias, and sentiment sensitivity significantly influence trading decisions in the gold market. While behavioural finance has traditionally focused on equity markets, this research extends the application of behavioural concepts to commodity markets, particularly gold trading.
- Contribution to Commodity Market Analysis: The research offers a longitudinal analysis of gold price volatility from 2019 to 2024, linking market price movements with behavioural responses of traders. By analysing multiple years of data, the study provides deeper insight into how trader psychology evolves during different phases of the market.
- Contribution to Understanding Trader Psychology: The findings highlight that trading experience plays an important role in moderating behavioural biases. Experienced traders tend to respond more strategically to volatility, while less experienced traders are more likely to react emotionally to market movements. These insights contribute to a better understanding of decision-making behaviour among commodity traders.
- Practical Contribution to Risk Management: The study suggests that incorporating behavioural indicators and sentiment analysis into trading strategies can improve risk management practices. By monitoring psychological signals in the market, traders and analysts may better anticipate extreme price movements and reduce potential losses.
- Methodological Contribution: The research introduces a combined behavioural-volatility analytical framework. This framework integrates: Gold price dispersion analysis using high–low price data; Survey-based behavioural measurements; Statistical analysis and mediation testing; this integrated methodology provides a structured approach that can be replicated in future research examining other commodities or financial markets.
- From a theoretical perspective, the study contributes to behavioral finance by proposing a behavioral-volatility interaction model in commodity markets. The findings support the argument that psychological responses to volatility act as transmission mechanisms between market uncertainty and trading behaviour.
- From a policy perspective, the findings suggest that market regulators must account for 'Endogenous Risk' created by trader psychology. Increasing margin requirements during high-volatility regimes could serve as a technical circuit-breaker to dampen the 'Feedback Loop' between price spikes and irrational exuberance.

6.3 Five-Year Observational Study (2019–2024)

During the course of the research, continuous observation of market trends and interaction with trading communities allowed the researcher to identify behavioural patterns across different years.
The following table summarizes the observed market phases and corresponding behavioural characteristics.

Table 6.3.1Five-Year Observational Trends in Gold Trading Behaviour

Year	Market Phase	Behavioural Characteristics
2019	Confidence Phase	Moderate optimism, steady accumulation of gold assets, and cautious trading strategies among investors.
2020	Panic Accumulation	Fear-driven buying due to global uncertainty, increased speculative trading, and higher trading frequency.
2021	Fatigue Consolidation	Reduced enthusiasm among traders, sideways market movement, and cautious participation in trading activities.
2022	Strategic Hedging	Defensive market positioning, use of hedging strategies, and cautious optimism influenced by macroeconomic risks.
2023	Institutional Stabilization	Greater institutional participation, improved risk management practices, and stronger alignment with macroeconomic indicators.
2024	Momentum Exuberance	Strong upward price momentum driven by inflation expectations, increased optimism, and visible herd behaviour among traders.

Interpretation of the Five-Year Study: The five-year observational analysis indicates that trader behaviour evolves dynamically with market conditions. Periods of uncertainty and crisis tend to produce risk-averse and herd-driven behaviour, as traders react emotionally to rapid price changes. Conversely, during more stable periods, traders adopt more strategic and analytical approaches, focusing on risk management and long-term positioning. The patterns observed in this longitudinal analysis are consistent with the survey results presented in the earlier chapters. Both sets of findings highlight that emotional responses and cognitive biases play a crucial role in shaping market behaviour. Overall, the study confirms that behavioural finance provides a valuable framework for understanding the dynamics of gold markets, particularly during periods of heightened volatility.

6.4 Policy Implications

The findings of this study provide several implications for financial institutions, policymakers, and market regulators involved in commodity markets.

- Strengthening Market Transparency: Regulatory bodies should focus on improving market transparency and information flow. When traders have access to accurate and timely market information, the influence of behavioural biases such as herd behaviour and panic trading can be reduced.
- Investor Education Programs: Financial regulators and commodity exchanges should promote investor education programs that explain behavioural biases and market risks. Educating traders about psychological decision-making can help them make more rational investment choices.
- Monitoring Market Sentiment: Commodity exchanges and financial regulators can develop systems to monitor market sentiment indicators, including trader confidence and market psychology. Such indicators can act as early warning signals during periods of extreme volatility.
- Encouraging Risk Management Practices: Policy frameworks should encourage traders and financial institutions to adopt structured risk management strategies, including hedging tools, portfolio diversification, and disciplined trading practices.
- Based on the documented escalation in herd behavior during high-volatility periods, commodity exchanges should consider implementing 'Behavioral Guardrails,' such as automated volatility alerts and sentiment-based risk disclosures, to protect retail participants from emotional over-trading

6.5 Practical Implications for Traders

The results of this research provide several practical insights for gold traders and investors.

- Understanding Behavioural Biases: Traders should recognize the role of psychological biases such as overreaction, anchoring, and herd behaviour. Awareness of these biases can help traders avoid impulsive decisions.
- Using Analytical Trading Approaches: Instead of relying solely on market sentiment, traders should combine technical analysis, fundamental analysis, and risk management techniques to make informed decisions.
- Developing Long-Term Trading Discipline: Short-term emotional reactions often lead to poor trading outcomes. Traders should focus on long-term trading strategies and disciplined investment planning.
- Managing Volatility Effectively: During periods of high volatility, traders should reduce excessive exposure and use tools such as stop-loss orders and hedging strategies to protect their capital.

6.6 Scope for Future Research

Although the present study provides valuable insights, several opportunities exist for further research.

- Expansion to Other Commodity Markets: Future studies can examine behavioural patterns in other commodity markets such as silver, crude oil, or agricultural commodities to determine whether similar psychological factors influence trading decisions.
- Comparative Studies across Financial Markets: Researchers may conduct comparative studies between commodity markets, stock markets, and cryptocurrency markets to explore differences in investor behaviour.
- Use of Advanced Statistical Techniques: Future research can apply advanced analytical tools such as Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), machine learning techniques, or behavioural sentiment analysis to gain deeper insights into market behaviour.
- Larger and More Diverse Samples: Expanding the sample size and including traders from different geographic regions could improve the generalizability of findings and provide a broader perspective on behavioural finance in commodity markets.

This chapter presented the author's observations, research contributions, policy implications, practical recommendations, and future research opportunities. The study confirms that psychological factors significantly influence gold trading behaviour, particularly during periods of market volatility. Understanding the interaction between market dynamics and trader psychology is essential for improving trading strategies, strengthening risk management practices, and enhancing the stability of commodity markets.

Chapter 7: Conclusion

This chapter provides systematic conclusion of research.

7.1 Introduction:

The purpose of this research was to examine the relationship between gold price volatility and trader psychological behavior during the period 2019–2024 using a behavioral finance framework. The study integrated longitudinal gold market data with survey-based psychological measurements in order to understand how fluctuations in gold prices influence trader decision-making and market participation. Gold markets have historically been regarded as relatively stable safe-haven environments; however, the years between 2019 and 2024 witnessed unprecedented economic shocks, including pandemic disruptions, inflation surges, financial market uncertainty, and geopolitical conflicts. These conditions created repeated volatility episodes in the gold market, making the period particularly suitable for studying the interaction between market volatility and trader psychology. Traditional financial theories often assume that investors behave rationally and process market information efficiently. However, behavioral finance research suggests that traders are frequently influenced by emotional responses and cognitive biases when making financial decisions. This study therefore attempted to bridge the gap between classical economic explanations of gold price movements and behavioral explanations of trader decision-making. The study combined: Secondary data on annual gold price highs and lows (2019–2024) and Primary survey data from 150 experienced traders 'Statistical techniques including correlation, regression, and mediation analysis, The findings provide empirical evidence that market volatility significantly influences trader psychology, and that behavioral responses in turn contribute to further market fluctuations.

7.2 Summary of the Research Process:

The research process involved multiple stages including theoretical analysis, data collection, statistical testing, and interpretation of behavioral patterns. The key stages of the study are summarized below.

Table 7.1 Summary of Research Framework

Research Component	Description
Research Topic	Gold Price Volatility and Trader Psychology
Study Period	2019–2024
Research Design	Mixed-method (longitudinal market data + survey analysis)
Sample Size	150 experienced traders
Data Sources	Secondary gold price data + primary behavioral survey
Key Behavioral Variables	Risk aversion, herd behavior, anchoring bias, sentiment sensitivity, trading frequency
Statistical Techniques	Descriptive analysis, correlation, regression, mediation analysis
Conceptual Model	Volatility → Sentiment → Behavioral Bias → Trading Activity

The research methodology enabled the integration of objective market indicators with subjective trader behavior, thereby providing a more comprehensive understanding of financial decision-making under conditions of uncertainty.

7.3 Integrated Summary of Key Empirical Findings:

The empirical analysis conducted in earlier chapters produced several significant findings regarding the behavioral dynamics of gold traders during volatile market periods.

Table 7.2 Summary of Key Empirical Findings

Behavioral Variable	Observed Impact	Interpretation
Risk Aversion	High	Traders become cautious during volatile periods
Herd Behavior	Moderate	Traders sometimes follow market sentiment
Anchoring Bias	High	Traders rely heavily on previous price peaks
Sentiment Sensitivity	Highest	News and global events strongly influence trading
Trading Frequency	Moderate–High	Volatility increases trading activity

The results indicate that sentiment sensitivity emerged as the most influential behavioral factor, suggesting that traders closely monitor global economic developments, news events, and geopolitical tensions when making gold trading decisions. The findings also demonstrate that volatility significantly increases psychological biases, particularly risk aversion and anchoring behavior. The study's most significant empirical contribution is the identification of Sentiment Sensitivity as the primary behavioral transmission channel. With a β coefficient of 0.74, it is technically the most robust mediator, proving that emotional reactivity—rather than pure cognitive bias—is the dominant force converting market volatility into trading actions.

7.4 Hypothesis Testing Results

The study formulated five hypotheses based on behavioral finance theory. The empirical results confirm that most of the proposed relationships between volatility and trader psychology are statistically significant.

Table 7.3 Hypothesis Testing Summary

Hypothesis	Statement	Result
H1	Volatility increases risk aversion	Supported
H2	Volatility increases herd behavior	Supported
H3	Previous price highs create anchoring bias	Supported
H4	Behavioral biases influence trading frequency	Supported
H5	Sentiment mediates volatility impact	Partially Supported

The mediation analysis revealed that sentiment sensitivity acts as an important transmission mechanism linking market volatility with trader behavior. When sentiment is included in the regression model, the direct impact of volatility on trading activity decreases, indicating partial mediation. This confirms the existence of a behavioral transmission channel in gold markets.

7.5 Behavioral–Volatility Interaction Model:

One of the central contributions of the research is the identification of a behavioral amplification cycle in gold markets.

Table 7.4 Behavioral Amplification Cycle

Stage	Market Event	Behavioral Reaction
1	Price volatility increases	Traders perceive higher risk
2	Emotional reactions intensify	Fear and uncertainty rise
3	Herd behavior emerges	Traders follow market consensus
4	Trading frequency increases	Market participation rises
5	Market volatility increases further	Price extremes intensify

This cycle demonstrates that financial markets are not driven solely by economic fundamentals, but also by collective psychological responses of traders.

When volatility increases, traders react emotionally, and these emotional reactions generate additional trading activity, which may further amplify price fluctuations.

7.6 Longitudinal Market Interpretation (2019–2024):

The five-year period examined in this study exhibited several distinct market phases that correspond with different behavioral patterns.

Table 7.5 Longitudinal Market Behaviour Patterns

Year	Market Phase	Behavioral Characteristics
2019	Confidence Phase	Stable trading environment and cautious optimism
2020	Crisis Volatility	Fear-driven demand and panic buying
2021	Market Consolidation	Reduced enthusiasm and cautious participation
2022	Strategic Hedging	Defensive investment strategies
2023	Institutional Stabilization	Increased professional risk management
2024	Momentum Expansion	Strong upward sentiment and herd behavior

The longitudinal perspective reveals that trader behavior evolves with market conditions. During crisis periods, traders exhibit stronger emotional reactions, while stable market environments encourage more rational and strategic decision-making.

7.7 Theoretical Implications:

The findings of this research contribute significantly to the development of behavioral finance theory, particularly in the context of commodity markets. Key theoretical implications include: Extension of Behavioral Finance to Commodity Markets, Most behavioral finance studies focus on stock markets. This research demonstrates that similar psychological biases also influence trading behavior in gold markets. Integration of Volatility and Behavioral Theory The study proposes a behavioral-volatility interaction framework that links market uncertainty with psychological responses. Empirical Support for Prospect Theory the results support prospect theory predictions that investors become more risk-averse during uncertain conditions. Sentiment as a Behavioral Transmission Mechanism Investor sentiment plays a crucial role in converting market volatility into behavioral reactions and trading decisions. These contributions expand the scope of behavioral finance research beyond traditional financial markets. The proposed Behavioral-Volatility Interaction Framework establishes that gold markets during 2019–2024 operated under 'Endogenous Volatility.' This means the price extremes were not just external reactions to news, but were technically amplified by the internal feedback loop of trader fear and subsequent herd-driven liquidity spikes.

7.8 Practical Implications

The findings of the study have several practical implications for traders, financial institutions, and policymakers.

Table 7.6 Practical Implications

Stakeholder	Key Implications
Traders	Improve awareness of psychological biases and emotional trading
Financial Analysts	Integrate sentiment indicators with technical analysis
Commodity Exchanges	Monitor market sentiment during volatile periods
Regulators	Promote investor education and transparency

By understanding psychological behavior in financial markets, traders may improve decision-making and reduce the impact of emotional biases.

7.9 Methodological Contributions:

The study introduces a structured analytical framework that combines: Market volatility measurement using high–low price dispersion. Behavioral finance survey instruments. Statistical modeling and mediation analysis.

Table 7.7 Methodological Framework

Component	Method
Market Volatility	High–Low Price Dispersion Ratio
Behavioral Measurement	Likert-scale questionnaire
Statistical Testing	Correlation and regression analysis
Mediation Testing	Baron and Kenny approach

This integrated methodological approach provides a replicable framework for future behavioral finance research. While the study faced inherent constraints in matching high-frequency market data with point-in-time survey responses, the adopted 'Pseudo-Longitudinal' methodology provided a technically sound proxy for analyzing behavioral evolution across distinct volatility regimes (2020 vs. 2024).

7.10 Limitations of the Study:

Despite its contributions, the study has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. The study used annual high–low price data, which may not fully capture short-term market fluctuations. Behavioral responses were based on self-reported survey data, which may contain response bias. The sample consisted of 150 traders, which limits the ability to generalize findings across global markets. The behavioral survey was conducted at a single point in time, while market data was longitudinal. The study focused only on selected behavioral constructs, whereas other psychological factors such as overconfidence or regret aversion may also influence trading behavior. These limitations provide opportunities for further research.

7.11 Directions for Future Research: Future research may expand upon this study in several ways:

Table 7.8 Future Research Opportunities

Research Area	Suggested Direction
Commodity Markets	Study other commodities such as silver or crude oil
Financial Markets	Compare commodity markets with equity or cryptocurrency markets
Data Analysis	Use high-frequency price data
Statistical Methods	Apply structural equation modeling or machine learning
Behavioral Variables	Include additional biases such as overconfidence

Expanding research in these areas would provide deeper insights into behavioral dynamics in financial markets.

7.12 Final Conclusion and Concluding Remarks:

This research examined the relationship between gold price volatility and trader psychology during the period 2019–2024 within the framework of behavioral finance. The findings demonstrate that gold price movements are not determined solely by economic fundamentals but are also strongly influenced by the emotional and cognitive responses of market participants. The empirical analysis confirms that behavioral factors such as risk aversion, herd behavior, anchoring bias, and sentiment sensitivity significantly affect trading decisions in the gold market. Among these behavioral variables, sentiment sensitivity emerged as the most influential factor, indicating that global economic news, geopolitical developments, and market uncertainty strongly shape trader perceptions and decision-making patterns. The results further reveal the presence of a behavioral amplification mechanism in which periods of heightened market volatility intensify emotional reactions among traders. These psychological responses stimulate increased trading activity, which in turn contributes to further fluctuations in gold prices. The longitudinal analysis over the five-year period also indicates that trader behavior evolves in response to changing market conditions. During crisis periods characterized by high uncertainty, traders tend to display stronger risk-averse tendencies and herd-driven behavior. In contrast, relatively stable market periods encourage more calculated and strategic trading decisions. Ultimately, this research serves as a foundational blueprint for 'Behavioral Macro-Prudential' analysis, demonstrating that gold price volatility functions as a 'Psychological Multiplier' that requires integrated feeling-based variables for true analytical accuracy.

Overall, the study highlights the importance of integrating behavioral finance perspectives with traditional financial and economic analysis. Understanding how traders perceive, interpret, and respond to market volatility provides deeper insight into commodity price dynamics that cannot be fully explained through macroeconomic indicators alone. By recognizing the behavioral dimensions of market activity, this research contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of gold market fluctuations and offers valuable insights for improving market analysis and risk management practices. Ultimately, this research serves as a foundational blueprint for 'Behavioral Macro-Prudential' analysis in commodity markets, suggesting that future studding price forecasting simulations must integrate feeling based variables to achieve true analytical accuracy during global crises.

Appendix A: Structured Questionnaire

Title of Study: Gold Price Volatility and Trader Psychology (2019–2024)
Section A: Demographic Profile:

1. Age:25–30, 31–40, 41–50, 51–60, Above 60
2. Gender: Male, Female, Prefer not to say
3. Education Level: Undergraduate, Postgraduate, Professional Certification, Doctoral
4. Years of Trading Experience:3–5 Years, 6–10 Years, Above 10 Years

Section B: Psychological Constructs
Instructions: Please indicate your level of agreement using the following scale:
1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree.

Part 1: Risk Aversion Scale (RAS)

1. I reduce my gold exposure when price volatility increases significantly.
2. Large price swings make me uncomfortable even if the long-term trend is positive.
3. I prefer aggressive trading even when markets are highly volatile.
4. I exit positions quickly when losses exceed my expectations.
5. I increase hedging strategies during uncertain periods.

Part 2: Herd Behavior Index (HBI)

1. I feel more confident entering a trade when many traders are bullish.
2. I follow market sentiment during price breakouts.
3. I rely on peer discussions before taking major positions.
4. I tend to buy when prices are rising rapidly.
5. I adjust my positions when market consensus changes.

Part 3: Anchoring Bias Scale (ABS)

1. I use previous year’s highest price as a benchmark for future expectations.
2. I hesitate to sell below a price I consider historically high.
3. I compare current prices with past peaks before making decisions.
4. I expect prices to revisit historical highs.
5. I base stop-loss levels on previous key price points.

Part 4: Sentiment Sensitivity Scale (SSS)

1. News headlines strongly influence my trading decisions.
2. Geopolitical uncertainty increases my gold buying tendency.
3. I trade more actively during crisis news periods.
4. Social media discussions impact my perception of gold trends.
5. I monitor global risk events before placing trades.

Part 5: Trading Frequency Behavior (TFB)
1. I trade more frequently during high-volatility years.
2. Rapid price movements encourage me to increase trade size.
3. I make impulsive trades during sudden breakouts.
4. I adjust my strategy frequently during extreme price swings.
5. I take higher risks after observing strong upward momentum.

Appendix B:

SAMPLE CONSENT STATEMENT

Participation is voluntary. Responses will remain confidential and used strictly for academic research purposes. No personal identifying data will be disclosed.

PARTICIPANT CONSENT STATEMENT

Participation in this study is voluntary. All responses will remain strictly confidential and will be used only for academic research purposes. No personal identifying information will be disclosed. Participants may withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences. By completing the questionnaire, respondents indicate their informed consent to participate in this research.

DISCLAIMER STATEMENT:

This research study has been conducted solely for academic and educational purposes. The analysis, interpretations, and conclusions presented in this document are based on publicly available market data and survey responses collected from participating traders. Every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the information; however, the author does not guarantee the completeness or absolute accuracy of the data used. The findings and discussions presented in this study are intended to contribute to academic research in behavioral finance and commodity market analysis. They should not be interpreted as financial, investment, trading, or professional advisory recommendations. Readers are advised to seek qualified financial or investment professionals before making any financial decisions. Participation in the survey component of the research was voluntary, and respondent identities were kept anonymous and confidential. The data collected were used exclusively for research purposes. Artificial intelligence–based tools were used only for language refinement, formatting assistance, and structural editing. All research design, analysis, interpretation, and conclusions are the original work and responsibility of the author Maheshkumar Devendra Mohite. The author and affiliated institution shall not be held responsible for any financial loss, investment decision, or action taken by readers based on the information presented in this study.

References

[1]. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. Science, **185**(4157), 1124–1131.
[2]. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica, **47**(2), 263–291.
[3]. De Bondt, W. F., & Thaler, R. H. (1985). Does the stock market overreact? Journal of Finance, **40**(3), 793–805.
[4]. Bikhchandani, S., Hirshleifer, D., & Welch, I. (1992). A theory of fads, fashion, custom, and cultural change as informational cascades. Journal of Political Economy, **100**(5), 992–1026.
[5]. De Long, J. B., Shleifer, A., Summers, L. H., & Waldmann, R. J. (1990). Noise trader risk in financial markets. Journal of Political Economy, **98**(4), 703–738.
[6]. Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2001). Boys will be boys: Gender, overconfidence, and common stock investment. Quarterly Journal of Economics, **116**(1), 261–292.
[7]. Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. H. (2003). A survey of behavioral finance. In G. M. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. M. Stulz (Eds.), Handbook of the Economics of Finance (Vol. 1, pp. 1053–1128). Elsevier.
[8]. Lo, A. W. (2004). The adaptive markets hypothesis: Market efficiency from an evolutionary perspective. Journal of Portfolio Management, 30(5), 15–29.
[9]. Camerer, C. F. (2005). Behavioral economics. In R. Blundell, W. K. Newey, & T. Persson (Eds.), Advances in economics and econometrics: Theory and applications (pp. 181–214). Cambridge University Press.
[10]. Shefrin, H. (2007). Behavioral corporate finance. McGraw-Hill.
[11]. Baker, M., & Wurgler, J. (2007). Investor sentiment in the stock market. Journal of Economic Perspectives, **21**(2), 129–152.
[12]. Tetlock, P. C. (2007). Giving content to investor sentiment: The role of media in the stock market. Journal of Finance, **62**(3), 1139–1168.
[13]. Shiller, R. J. (2000). Irrational exuberance. Princeton University Press.
[14]. Baur, D. G., & Lucey, B. M. (2010). Is gold a hedge or a safe haven? An analysis of stocks, bonds, and gold. Financial Review, **45**(2), 217–229.
[15]. Pompian, M. M. (2011). Behavioral finance and wealth management. Wiley.
[16]. Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
[17]. Wang, Y., & Chueh, Y. (2013). Dynamic transmission effects between gold and stock markets. Economic Modelling, **30**, 107–113.
[18]. Reboredo, J. C. (2013). Is gold a hedge or safe haven against oil price movements? Resources Policy, **38**(2), 130–137.
[19]. Statman, M. (2014). Behavioral finance: Finance with normal people. Borsa Istanbul Review, **14**(2), 65–73.

[20]. Thaler, R. H. (2015). Misbehaving: The making of behavioral economics. W. W. Norton & Company.
[21]. Bouri, E., Molnár, P., Azzi, G., Roubaud, D., & Hagfors, L. I. (2018). Investor sentiment and gold returns. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance, **20**, 45–55.
[22]. Smales, L. A. (2020). Gold as a safe haven during times of crisis. Finance Research Letters, **35**, 101–108.
[23]. Shahzad, S. J. H., Bouri, E., Roubaud, D., Kristoufek, L., & Lucey, B. (2021). Behavioral determinants of safe-haven assets. Resources Policy, **72**, 102–115.
[24]. MacroTrends. (n.d.). Gold prices – Historical annual high and low data. Retrieved March 6, 2026, from website: macrotrends.net/1333/historical-gold-prices-100-year-chart
[25]. StatMuse Money. (n.d.). Gold price history from 2019 to 2024 (high & low monthly/annual prices). Retrieved March 6, 2026, from website: tatmuse.com/money/ask/gold-price-history-from-2019-to-2024
[26]. MacroTrends. (2024). Gold prices – Historical annual data. Retrieved from website: macrotrends.net
[27]. World Gold Council. (2024). Gold demand trends reports. Retrieved from website: gold.org
[28]. Investing.com. (2024). Gold historical prices. Retrieved from website:investing.com
[29]. Yahoo Finance. (2024). Gold futures historical data (GC=F). Retrieved from website: finance.yahoo.com
[30]. TradingView. (2024). XAU/USD historical price data. Retrieved from website: tradingview.com