



Cayley-Hamilton Theorem Applications in Matrices and Correlation Matrices: Some Applications in Livestock Data

Şenol Çelik*

Department of Animal Sciences, Biometry and Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Bingöl University, Bingöl, Turkey

Abstract: The Cayley-Hamilton theorem was used in this study to determine the forces, square root, cube root, and the logarithm of square matrices. The matrix was created by examining the correlations between a few body characteristics of animals in certain published studies on livestock data. The polynomials and functions of the created correlation matrices were determined. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem was used to determine the eigenvalues of matrices that are exceedingly challenging to solve using other methods in order to produce high-order polynomials and functions for these matrices.

Keywords: Cayley-Hamilton theorem, matrix, eigenvalue, correlation.

Received 07 August, 2022; Revised 20 August, 2022; Accepted 22 August, 2022 © The author(s) 2022. Published with open access at www.questjournals.org

I. Introduction

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem and the corresponding trace identity play a fundamental role in proving classical results about the polynomial and trace identities of the $n \times n$ matrix algebra $M_n(K)$ over a field K [1,2].

Ziebur (1970) [3] and Schmidt (1986) [4] applied knowledge of the basic form of e^{At} to a derivation of the main results on the structure of A as a linear operator. Their approach started with an application of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem to deduce the form of each entry of e^{At} as a solution of a constant coefficient linear differential equation. Since the Cayley-Hamilton theorem can be viewed as part of the structure theory of a linear operator, it seems natural to ask if, by means of a different starting point for the analysis of e^{At} , one can also deduce this result from information about e^{At} .

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem [5, 6] explains that every square matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem has been extended to rectangular matrices [7], block matrices [7], pairs of commuting matrices [7-10] and pairs of block matrices [11].

There are studies conducted by some researchers in different fields related to the Cayley-Hamilton theorem [12, 13]. The coefficients of the characteristic polynomial were expressed by traces of powers of the matrix, yielding a compact form of the Cayley-Hamilton equation of 2×2 matrices over the Grassmann algebra [14]. It was solved a problem of existence of multiparameter systems that satisfy some given data by Cayley-Hamilton theorem [15]. The Cayley-Hamilton and Frobenius theorems via the Laplace transform were applied [16]. In another study, the representation theory of solvable Lie algebras using generalized Cayley-Hamilton theorem was performed [17].

In this study, it is aimed to obtain higher order powers of matrices and some functions by applying Cayley-Hamilton theorem on some square matrices and correlation matrices.

II. Material and Method

The material of this research consisted of the matrices arbitrarily given by the author and the matrices of the size (3×3) determined as a result of several studies.

The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem provides the characteristic equation for any quadratic matrix A .

$$\lambda^n + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + a_{n-2}\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + a_0 = 0$$

If its equation is the characteristic equation of matrix A ,

$$A^n + a_{n-1}A^{n-1} + a_{n-2}A^{n-2} + \dots + a_1A + a_0I = 0$$

given a (2*2) square matrix, $\det(A-\lambda I)=0$ is calculated to find its eigenvalues. There are two eigenvalues. According to this,

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= a_0I + a_1A \\ f(\lambda_1) &= a_0I + a_1\lambda_1 \\ f(\lambda_2) &= a_0I + a_1\lambda_2 \end{aligned}$$

Here λ_1 and λ_2 are different eigenvalues.

Let it be a square matrix of size (3*3). To obtain the eigenvalues of this matrix, $\det(A-\lambda I)=0$ is calculated.

$f(A)=a_0I + a_1A + a_2A^2$
is,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1) &= a_0I + a_1\lambda_1 + a_2\lambda_1^2 \\ f(\lambda_2) &= a_0I + a_1\lambda_2 + a_2\lambda_2^2 \\ f(\lambda_3) &= a_0I + a_1\lambda_3 + a_2\lambda_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

Here λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 are different eigenvalues[18].

III. Results and Discussion

First, apply the Cayley-Hamilton theorem to any (2*2) dimensional matrix. For example, given below,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

for a square matrix of the form (2*2), calculations such as, $A^{250}, A^{-5}, \sqrt{A}, \sqrt[3]{A}$ can be made. For each operation, $\det(A-\lambda I)=0$ is calculated and the characteristic roots of the given matrix, that is, the eigenvalues, are determined. Here I is the unit matrix,

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is in the form. To find the eigenvalues,

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$$

is calculated.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 7 \\ 5 & 6 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

When the determinant of this matrix is taken and set to zero,

$$\lambda^2 - 10\lambda - 11 = 0$$

The equation is obtained. The roots of this equation, $\lambda_1 = 11$ ve $\lambda_2 = -1$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix.

To calculate A^{250} , the eigenvalues are

$$\begin{aligned} f(11) &= \alpha_0 + 11 = 11^{250} \\ f(-1) &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = (-1)^{250} \\ \alpha_0 + 11\alpha_1 &= 11^{250} \\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

When this system of equations is solved, $\alpha_0 = \frac{11^{250}+11}{12}$ and $\alpha_1 = \frac{11^{250}-1}{12}$ there are unknowns.

When the α_0 and α_1 values are substituted in the formula,

$$f(A) = A^{250} = \frac{11^{250} + 11}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \alpha_1 = \frac{11^{250} - 1}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{250} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{15 * 11^{250} + 7}{12} & \frac{7}{12} (11^{250} - 1) \\ \frac{5}{12} (11^{250} - 1) & \frac{7 * 11^{250} + 10}{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

is found.

Similarly, when, A^{-10} is calculated,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 + 11\alpha_1 &= 11^{-10} \\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

When this system of equations is solved, $\alpha_0 = \frac{11^{-10}+11}{12}$ and $\alpha_1 = \frac{11^{-10}-1}{12}$ unknowns are obtained.

$$f(A) = A^{-10} = \frac{11^{-10} + 11}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{11^{-10} - 1}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{-10} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5 * 11^{-10} + 7}{12} & \frac{7}{12} (11^{-10} - 1) \\ \frac{5}{12} (11^{-10} - 1) & \frac{7 * 11^{-10} + 5}{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

When \sqrt{A} is calculated similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0 + 11\alpha_1 &= \sqrt{11} \\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 &= i\end{aligned}$$

When this system of equations is solved, $\alpha_0 = \frac{\sqrt{11}+11i}{12}$ ve $\alpha_1 = \frac{\sqrt{11}-i}{12}$ have values. From here,

$$\begin{aligned}f(A) &= \frac{\sqrt{11} + 11i}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\sqrt{11} - i}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \sqrt{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5\sqrt{11} + 7i}{12} & \frac{7}{12}(\sqrt{11} - i) \\ \frac{5}{12}(\sqrt{11} - i) & \frac{7\sqrt{11} + 5i}{12} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

available as.

Calculation of $\sqrt[3]{A}$ is as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0 + 11\alpha_1 &= \sqrt[3]{11} \\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 &= -1\end{aligned}$$

From the system of equations, $\alpha_0 = \frac{\sqrt[3]{11}-11}{12}$ and $\alpha_1 = \frac{\sqrt[3]{11}+1}{12}$ there are unknowns. From here too,

$$\begin{aligned}f(A) &= \alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 A = \frac{\sqrt[3]{11} - 11}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{11} + 1}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \sqrt[3]{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5\sqrt[3]{11} - 7}{12} & \frac{7}{12}(\sqrt[3]{11} + 1) \\ \frac{5}{12}(\sqrt[3]{11} + 1) & \frac{7\sqrt[3]{11} - 5}{12} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

obtained.

The calculation of e^A is shown below.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0 + 11\alpha_1 &= e^{11} \\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 &= e^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

From this system of equations, $\alpha_0 = \frac{e^{11}+11e^{-1}}{12}$ and $\alpha_1 = \frac{e^{11}-e^{-1}}{12}$ values are obtained.

$$\begin{aligned}f(A) &= e^A = \frac{e^{11} + 11e^{-1}}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{e^{11} - e^{-1}}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ e^A &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5e^{11} + 7e^{-1}}{12} & \frac{7}{12}(e^{11} - e^{-1}) \\ \frac{5}{12}(e^{11} - e^{-1}) & \frac{7e^{11} + 5e^{-1}}{12} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

is in the form.

The expression $\ln(A)$ is calculated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0 + 11\alpha_1 &= \ln 11 \\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 &= i\pi\end{aligned}$$

From this system of equations, $\alpha_0 = \frac{\ln 11 + 11i\pi}{12}$ and $\alpha_1 = \frac{\ln 11 - i\pi}{12}$ are found. From here,

$$\begin{aligned}f(A) &= \ln A = \frac{\ln 11 + i11\pi}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\ln 11 - i\pi}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \ln A &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5\ln 11 + i7\pi}{12} & \frac{7}{12}(\ln 11 - i\pi) \\ \frac{5}{12}(\ln 11 - i\pi) & \frac{7\ln 11 + i5\pi}{12} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

is calculated as. When this matrix is calculated,

$$\ln A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.999 + 1.833i & 1.399 - 1.833i \\ 0.999 - 1.309i & 1.399 + 1.309i \end{bmatrix}$$

result arises.

Similar calculations were made for the following (3*3). For matrix,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 \\ 4 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

let A^{15} be calculated. In order to obtain the eigenvalues of this matrix from the equation $\det(A - I\lambda) = 0$,

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 1 - \lambda & 5 \\ 4 & 6 & 9 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

When the determinant is taken and equalized to zero, it is found as, $\lambda_1 = 15.2892, \lambda_2 = -1$ and $\lambda_3 = -2.2892$.

$$a_0 + 15.2892 a_1 + 233.7596 a_2 = 583138111937939000$$

$$a_0 - a_1 + a_2 = -1$$

$$a_0 - 2.2892 a_1 + 5.240437 a_2 = -248459.7584$$

When the system of equations is solved,

$$a_0 = 4662000000000000$$

$$a_1 = 6698600000000000$$

$$a_2 = 2036500000000000$$

obtained.

$$f(A) = a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2$$

in the equation, the I matrix is the unit matrix, and substituting the $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 51 & 63 & 113 \\ 29 & 46 & 74 \\ 62 & 80 & 143 \end{bmatrix}$ values,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 &= 4662000000000000 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 6698600000000000 \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 \\ 4 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ 2036500000000000 \begin{bmatrix} 51 & 63 & 113 \\ 29 & 46 & 74 \\ 62 & 80 & 143 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$A^{15} = \begin{bmatrix} 1219200000000000 & 1617900000000000 & 2837133000000000 \\ 7915430000000000 & 1050400000000000 & 1841940000000000 \\ 1530600000000000 & 2031100000000000 & 3561700000000000 \end{bmatrix}$$

available as.

In studies in the field of animal husbandry, numerous matrix calculations were conducted by using the Cayley-Hamilton theorem utilizing correlation matrices.

In one study, several of the correlation coefficients between morphometric properties were selected in Zulu sheep in KwaZulu-Natal. The correlation coefficient between body weight and cida go height in sheep was 0.831, body weight-chest depth correlation coefficient was 0.781, and cida go height-chest depth correlation coefficient was 0.707 [19]. These selected values are created as a symmetrical A-square matrix of size (3*3). The matrix created is as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.831 & 0.781 \\ 0.831 & 1 & 0.707 \\ 0.781 & 0.707 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For this matrix, A^{10}, A^{-4}, \sqrt{A} ve $\exp(A)$ matrices can be calculated.

$\det(A - I\lambda) = 0$ To obtain the eigenvalues of the matrix from the equation,

$$|A| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 0.831 & 0.781 \\ 0.831 & 1 - \lambda & 0.707 \\ 0.781 & 0.707 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

when the determinant in the form of $\det(A)$ is taken and equalized to zero, it is found as $\lambda_1 = 0.1542, \lambda_2 = 0.2987$ ve $\lambda_3 = 2.5471$.

$$a_0 + 0.1542 a_1 + 0.023778 a_2 = 0.000000076005$$

$$a_0 + 0.2987 a_1 + 0.089222 a_2 = 0.0000056539534$$

$$a_0 + 2.5471 a_1 + 6.487718 a_2 = 11493.703$$

When the equations system

is solved, $a_0 = 98.3960$,

$a_1 = -$

967.5286 and $a_2 = 2136.2980$ are obtained. All values obtained are replaced in the equation.

$$f(A) = a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2$$

$$\text{equation, } I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and when the } A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2.3005 & 2.2142 & 2.1495 \\ 2.2142 & 2.1904 & 2.0630 \\ 2.1495 & 2.0630 & 2.1098 \end{bmatrix} \text{ values are replaced,}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 \\
 &= 98.3960 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 967.5286 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.831 & 0.781 \\ 0.831 & 1 & 0.707 \\ 0.781 & 0.707 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &+ 2136.2980 \begin{bmatrix} 2.3005 & 2.2142 & 2.1495 \\ 2.2142 & 2.1904 & 2.0630 \\ 2.1495 & 2.0630 & 2.1098 \end{bmatrix} \\
 A^{10} &= \begin{bmatrix} 4045.5758 & 3926.1961 & 3836.4582 \\ 3926.1961 & 3810.3391 & 3723.2493 \\ 3836.4582 & 3723.2493 & 3638.1501 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

is found.

Eigenvalues are used again to calculate A^{-4} , and similar operations are applied. Eigenvalues, $\lambda_1 = 0.1542$, $\lambda_2 = 0.2987$ ve $\lambda_3 = 2.5471$ are,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0 + 0.1542 a_1 + 0.023778 a_2 &= 1768.734 \\
 a_0 + 0.2987 a_1 + 0.089222 a_2 &= 125.62 \\
 a_0 + 2.5471 a_1 + 6.487718 a_2 &= 0.02376
 \end{aligned}$$

When the equations system is solved, $a_0 = 3739.945$, $a_1 = -13512.6$ and $a_2 = 4728.659$ are obtained. All values obtained are replaced in the equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 \\
 &= 3739.945 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 13512.6 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.831 & 0.781 \\ 0.831 & 1 & 0.707 \\ 0.781 & 0.707 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &+ 4728.659 \begin{bmatrix} 2.3005 & 2.2142 & 2.1495 \\ 2.2142 & 2.1904 & 2.0630 \\ 2.1495 & 2.0630 & 2.1098 \end{bmatrix} \\
 A^{-4} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1105.729 & -758.93 & -389.008 \\ -758.93 & 585.047 & 201.867 \\ -389.008 & 201.867 & 203.917 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

\sqrt{A} is calculated in,

Eigenvalues, $\lambda_1 = 0.1542$, $\lambda_2 = 0.2987$ and $\lambda_3 = 2.5471$ are,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0 + 0.1542 a_1 + 0.023778 a_2 &= 0.3297 \\
 a_0 + 0.2987 a_1 + 0.089222 a_2 &= 0.5465 \\
 a_0 + 2.5471 a_1 + 6.487718 a_2 &= 1.596
 \end{aligned}$$

From the solution of this equation, $a_0 = 0.0785$, $a_1 = 1.696$ and $a_2 = -0.4319$ are obtained.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 \\
 &= 0.0785 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1.696 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.831 & 0.781 \\ 0.831 & 1 & 0.707 \\ 0.781 & 0.707 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &- 0.4319 \begin{bmatrix} 2.3005 & 2.2142 & 2.1495 \\ 2.2142 & 2.1904 & 2.0630 \\ 2.1495 & 2.0630 & 2.1098 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \sqrt{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.82 & 0.4255 & 0.3828 \\ 0.4255 & 0.8475 & 0.3172 \\ 0.3828 & 0.3172 & 0.8677 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is found. The $\exp(A)$ e^A matrix is also calculated as follows. Eigenvalues, $\lambda_1 = 0.1542$, $\lambda_2 = 0.2987$ and $\lambda_3 = 2.5471$ are,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0 + 0.1542 a_1 + 0.023778 a_2 &= 1.1667 \\
 a_0 + 0.2987 a_1 + 0.089222 a_2 &= 1.3481 \\
 a_0 + 2.5471 a_1 + 6.487718 a_2 &= 12.77
 \end{aligned}$$

when the equations system is solved, $a_0 = 1.0467$, $a_1 = 0.5315$ and $a_2 = 1.5983$ are obtained. All values obtained are replaced in the equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 \\
 &= 1.0467 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 0.5315 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.831 & 0.781 \\ 0.831 & 1 & 0.707 \\ 0.781 & 0.707 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &+ 1.5983 \begin{bmatrix} 2.3005 & 2.2142 & 2.1495 \\ 2.2142 & 2.1904 & 2.0630 \\ 2.1495 & 2.0630 & 2.1098 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &e^A = \begin{bmatrix} 5.255 & 3.981 & 3.851 \\ 3.981 & 5.079 & 3.673 \\ 3.851 & 3.673 & 4.950 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

is found.

In a study conducted in Iran, the correlation coefficient between body weight and wither height in the Mehraban sheep breed was 0.91, the correlation between body weight and chest circumference was 0.97, and the correlation coefficient between wither height and breast circumference was 0.85 [20]. The related (3*3) dimensional square matrix is as follows.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.91 & 0.97 \\ 0.91 & 1 & 0.85 \\ 0.97 & 0.85 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A^7 and $\sqrt[3]{A}$ matrices of this matrix can be calculated. First, the eigenvalues of the matrix are found from the $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ equation.

$$|A - \lambda I| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 0.91 & 0.97 \\ 0.91 & 1 - \lambda & 0.85 \\ 0.97 & 0.85 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

From here $\lambda_1 = 0.0203$, $\lambda_2 = 0.1588$ and $\lambda_3 = 2.8209$ eigenvalues are obtained. If A^7 is calculated,

$$a_0 + 0.0203 a_1 + 0.000412 a_2 = 0.0000000000142$$

$$a_0 + 0.1588 a_1 + 0.025217 a_2 = 0.00000255$$

$$a_0 + 2.8209 a_1 + 7.957477 a_2 = 1421.392$$

when the equation system is solved, $a_0 = 0.6146$, $a_1 = -34.145$ and $a_2 = 190.650$ are obtained. These values are replaced in the equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 \\
 &= 1.6146 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 34.145 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.91 & 0.97 \\ 0.91 & 1 & 0.85 \\ 0.97 & 0.85 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &+ 190.6505 \begin{bmatrix} 2.7690 & 2.6445 & 2.7135 \\ 2.6445 & 2.5506 & 2.5827 \\ 2.7135 & 2.5827 & 2.6634 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &A^7 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.6146 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.6146 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.6146 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -34.1450 & -31.0720 & -33.1207 \\ -31.0720 & -34.1450 & -29.0233 \\ -33.1207 & -29.0233 & -34.1450 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &+ \begin{bmatrix} 527.9112 & 504.1752 & 517.3301 \\ 504.1752 & 486.2732 & 492.3930 \\ 517.3301 & 492.3930 & 507.7785 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &A^7 = \begin{bmatrix} 494.3628 & 473.0865 & 484.1921 \\ 473.0865 & 452.7258 & 463.3535 \\ 484.1921 & 463.3535 & 474.2306 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

is found.

$\sqrt[3]{A}$ to calculate $\lambda_1 = 0.0203$, $\lambda_2 = 0.1588$ and using their $\lambda_3 = 2.8209$ eigenvalues

$$a_0 + 0.0203 a_1 + 0.000412 a_2 = 0.2728$$

$$a_0 + 0.1588 a_1 + 0.025217 a_2 = 0.5415$$

$$a_0 + 2.8209 a_1 + 7.957477 a_2 = 1.4130$$

the equation system is solved. The roots of this equation are $a_0 = 0.2316$, $a_1 = 2.0432$ and $a_2 = -0.5758$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 \\
 &= 0.2316 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 2.0432 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.91 & 0.97 \\ 0.91 & 1 & 0.85 \\ 0.97 & 0.85 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 0.5758 \begin{bmatrix} 2.7690 & 2.6445 & 2.7135 \\ 2.6445 & 2.5506 & 2.5827 \\ 2.7135 & 2.5827 & 2.6634 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sqrt[3]{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2316 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2316 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.2316 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2.0432 & 1.8593 & 1.98197 \\ 1.8593 & 2.0432 & 1.7367 \\ 1.9819 & 1.7367 & 2.0432 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1.5944 & -1.5227 & -1.5624 \\ -1.5227 & -1.4686 & -1.4871 \\ -1.5624 & -1.4871 & -1.5336 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sqrt[3]{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6802 & 0.3365 & 0.4194 \\ 0.3365 & 0.8060 & 0.2495 \\ 0.4194 & 0.2495 & 0.7410 \end{bmatrix}$$

Body weight-body length correlation was 0.64, body weight-withers height correlation was 0.61, and body length-withers height correlation was 0.62 in dairy cattle in Western Kenya [21]. The matrix created according to this information is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.64 & 0.61 \\ 0.64 & 1 & 0.62 \\ 0.61 & 0.62 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A^{-6} and $\exp(A)$ matrices of this matrix can be calculated. Again, eigenvalues of the matrix are found from the $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ equation.

$$|A - \lambda I| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 0.64 & 0.61 \\ 0.64 & 1 - \lambda & 0.62 \\ 0.61 & 0.62 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

From here $\lambda_1 = 0.359$, $\lambda_2 = 0.3942$ and $\lambda_3 = 2.2468$ eigenvalues are obtained. If A^{-6} is calculated,

$$a_0 + 0.359 a_1 + 0.128888 a_2 = 467.0523$$

$$a_0 + 0.3942 a_1 + 0.155425 a_2 = 266.3381$$

$$a_0 + 2.2468 a_1 + 5.047887 a_2 = 0.007774$$

when the equation system is solved, $a_0 = 2931.682$, $a_1 = -7922.81$ and $a_2 = 2945.646$ are obtained. These values are replaced in the equation.

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 &= 2931.682 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 7922.81 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.64 & 0.61 \\ 0.64 & 1 & 0.62 \\ 0.61 & 0.62 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ 2945.646 \begin{bmatrix} 1.7817 & 1.6582 & 1.6168 \\ 1.6582 & 1.7940 & 1.6304 \\ 1.6168 & 1.6304 & 1.7565 \end{bmatrix} \\ A^{-6} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2931.682 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2931.682 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2931.682 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -7922.81 & -5070.6 & -4832.91 \\ -5070.6 & -7922.81 & -4912.14 \\ -4832.91 & -4912.14 & -7922.81 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} 5248.257 & 4884.470 & 4762.520 \\ 4884.470 & 5284.489 & 4802.581 \\ 4762.520 & 4802.581 & 5174.027 \end{bmatrix} \\ A^{-6} &= \begin{bmatrix} 257.1295 & -186.1282 & -70.3936 \\ -186.1282 & 293.3609 & -109.5610 \\ -70.3936 & -109.5610 & 182.8992 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is found.

e^A to calculate, $\lambda_1 = 0.359$, $\lambda_2 = 0.3942$ and $\lambda_3 = 2.2468$ eigenvalues,

$$a_0 + 0.359 a_1 + 0.128888 a_2 = 1.4319$$

$$a_0 + 0.3942 a_1 + 0.155425 a_2 = 1.4833$$

$$a_0 + 2.2468 a_1 + 5.047887 a_2 = 9.457$$

when the equation system is solved, $a_0 = 1.1213$, $a_1 = 0.324$ and $a_2 = 1.5071$ are obtained. These values are replaced in the function.

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 I + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 &= 1.1213 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 0.324 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.64 & 0.61 \\ 0.64 & 1 & 0.62 \\ 0.61 & 0.62 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1.5071 \begin{bmatrix} 1.7817 & 1.6582 & 1.6168 \\ 1.6582 & 1.7940 & 1.6304 \\ 1.6168 & 1.6304 & 1.7565 \end{bmatrix} \\ e^A &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.1213 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.1213 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.1213 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.3240 & 0.2074 & 0.1976 \\ 0.2074 & 0.3240 & 0.2009 \\ 0.1976 & 0.2009 & 0.3240 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2.6852 & 2.4991 & 2.4367 \\ 2.4991 & 2.7037 & 2.4572 \\ 2.4367 & 2.4572 & 2.6472 \end{bmatrix} \\ e^A &= \begin{bmatrix} 4.1305 & 2.7064 & 2.6343 \\ 2.7064 & 4.1490 & 2.6581 \\ 2.6343 & 2.6581 & 4.0925 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is found.

In these applications, different strengths, different polynomials and functions of some matrices and correlation matrices consisting of relationships between body properties in animals were obtained by Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

In one of the studies made with this theorem, Cayley-Hamilton theorem has been extended to the Drazin inverse matrices and standard inverse matrices. The theorems can be extended to any integer powers $k = 2, 3, \dots$ of the matrices [22]. (Kaczorek, 2016). In a research, Cayley-Hamilton theorem was generalized to any polynomial matrix of arbitrary degree with coefficients as square matrices of any order [23]. In another study, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem was formulated in the min-plus algebra. The result of the study display a slight difference from conventional algebra to the min-plus algebra, where the addition and multiplication operation are replaced by minimum and plus operation. Besides that, the formulation in conventional algebra is equal to zero whereas in the min-plus algebra cannot be equated to zero (Siswanto et al., 2021).

IV. Conclusion

Cayley-Hamilton theorem has been extended for real polynomial matrices in 2 and 3 variables. It has been displayed that the known extensions of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem are particular cases of the proposed extension. Application of the desired extension has been characterized by instances in correlation matrices from livestock studies.

References

- [1]. Kemer, A.R. 1985. Varieties of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebras. *Math. USSR Izv.* 25: 359-374.
- [2]. Kemer, A.R. 1991. *Ideals of Identities of Associative Algebras*. Translations of Math. Monographs vol. 87, AMS Providence, Rhode Island.
- [3]. Ziebur, A.D. 1970. On determining the structure of A by analyzing e^{At} , *SIAM Rev.* 12: 98-102.
- [4]. Schmidt, E.J.P.G. 1986. An alternative approach to canonical forms of matrices, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 93: 176-184.
- [5]. Gantmacher F. R. 1974. *The theory of matrices*, vol. 2, Chelsea.
- [6]. Kaczorek, T. 1988. *Vectors and Matrices in Automation and Electrotechnics*, WNT Warszawa (in Polish).
- [7]. Kaczorek, T. 1995. Generalization of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for nonsquare matrices, *Proc. Inter. Conf. Fundamentals of Electrotechnics and Circuit Theory XVIII SPETO*, pp. 77-83.
- [8]. Chang, F.R., Chan C. N. 1992. The generalized Cayley-Hamilton theorem for standard pencils, *System and Control Lett.*, 18: 179-182.
- [9]. Lewis, F.L. 1982. Cayley-Hamilton theorem and Fadeev's method for the matrix pencil $[sE-A]$, *Proc. 22nd IEEE Conf. Decision Control*, pp. 1282-1288.
- [10]. Lewis, F.L. 1986. Further remarks on the Cayley-Hamilton theorem and Fadeev's method for the matrix pencil $[sE-A]$, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 31: 1282-1288.
- [11]. Kaczorek, T. 1998. An extension of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for a standard pair of block matrices, *Appl Math. and Com. Sci.*, 8(3): 511-516.
- [12]. Zhang, J.J. 1998. The quantum Cayley-Hamilton theorem. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 129: 101-109.
- [13]. Itoh, M. 2001. A Cayley-Hamilton Theorem for the Skew Capelli Elements. *Journal of Algebra*, 242: 740-761.
- [14]. Domokos, M. 1998. Cayley-Hamilton theorem for 2×2 matrices over the Grassmann algebra. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 133: 69-81.
- [15]. Košir, T. 2003. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem and inverse problems for multiparameter systems. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 367: 155-163.
- [16]. Adkins, W.A., Davidson, M.G. 2003. The Cayley-Hamilton and Frobenius theorems via the Laplace transform. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 371: 147-152.
- [17]. Feng, L., Tan, H., Zhao, K. 2012. A generalized Cayley-Hamilton theorem. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 436: 2440-2445.
- [18]. Arslan, F. 2015. *Matematiksel Analiz*. Nobel Akademik Yayıncılık, Ankara. ISBN: 9786053202325
- [19]. Mavule, B.S., Muchenje, V., Bezuidenhout, C.C., Kunene, N.W. 2013. Morphological structure of Zulu sheep based on principal component analysis of body measurements. *Small Ruminant Research*, 111: 23-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2012.09.008>
- [20]. Shirzeyli, F.H., Lavvaf, A., Asadi, A. 2013. Estimation of body weight from body measurements in four breeds of Iranian sheep. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology*, 35(5): 507-511.
- [21]. Lukuyu, M.N., Gibson, J.P., Savage, D.B., Duncan, A.J., Mujibi, F.D.N., Okeyo, A.M. 2016. Use of body linear measurements to estimate liveweight of crossbred dairy cattle *Open*
- [22]. Access in smallholder farms in Kenya. *Springer Plus*, 5:63, 1-14. DOI 10.1186/s40064-016-1698-3.
- [23]. Kaczorek, T. 2016. Cayley-Hamilton theorem for Drazin inverse matrix and standard inverse matrices. *Bulletin of The Polish Academy of Sciences Technical Sciences*, 64(4): 793-797. DOI: 10.1515/bpasts-2016-0088.
- [24]. Kanwar, R.K. 2013. A Generalization of the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem. *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, 3: 109-115 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/apm.2013.31014>
- [25]. Siswanto, Nurhayati, N., Pangadi. 2021. Cayley-Hamilton theorem in the min-plus algebra. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 24:6, 1821-1828, DOI:10.1080/09720529.2021.1948662