Quest Journals
Journal of Research in Applied Mathematics
Volume 10 ~ Issue 6 (June 2024) pp: 28-34
ISSN (Online): 2394-0743 ISSN (Print): 2394-0735
www.questjournals.org

Review Paper

Exponential Stability Times in Perturbed Hamiltonian Dynamics

Shatrughna Kumar
Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics,
J. P. University, Chapra

Prof. Dr. Ashok Kumar
Ex-HOD, Dean (Faculty of Science),
University Department of Mathematics
J.P. University, Chapra

Abstract
The study of Hamiltonian systems under perturbations has long occupied a central place in mathematical physics, particularly in understanding how small deviations influence the long-term stability of dynamical trajectories. Nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems exhibit a delicate balance between order and chaos, and this balance is most evident in the question of stability over extended time scales. Classical integrable systems are characterized by invariant tori and predictable motion, but the introduction of perturbations often leads to resonance phenomena, energy exchanges, and potential instability. The pioneering work of Kolmogorov, Arnold, and Moser (KAM theory) established that, under certain non-degeneracy and smallness conditions, most invariant tori survive perturbations, thereby ensuring a strong form of stability. However, the picture is more nuanced when perturbations grow or when resonant structures proliferate. A major breakthrough in this domain came from Nekhoroshev's theorem, which demonstrated that stability times are not merely polynomially bounded but can in fact be exponentially long in terms of the perturbation parameter. This insight reshaped the understanding of Hamiltonian dynamics by offering a quantitative measure of how long trajectories remain confined in the vicinity of their unperturbed counterparts. Such exponentially long times have significant implications, not only in celestial mechanics, where planetary systems must maintain quasi-stability for billions of years, but also in plasma physics, molecular dynamics, and accelerator physics, where small instabilities can accumulate to large-scale divergences. This paper explores the structure of exponential stability times in perturbed Hamiltonian dynamics, revisiting classical results and integrating more recent advances. While minimizing the reliance on intricate mathematical proofs, the discussion emphasizes conceptual clarity, physical relevance, and the balance between order and chaos that defines these systems. Special attention is given to the roles of resonances, analytic regularity, and the geometry of phase space in shaping stability domains. By situating exponential stability within the broader context of dynamical systems theory, this work highlights the enduring importance of Hamiltonian mechanics as a bridge between rigorous mathematics and physical application.

Keywords: *Hamiltonian systems, nearly integrable dynamics, KAM theory, Nekhoroshev theorem, exponential stability, Arnold diffusion, resonances, celestial mechanics*

I. Introduction

The problem of stability in Hamiltonian dynamics is one of the central questions in mathematical physics and celestial mechanics. Hamiltonian systems, representing energy-preserving dynamics, appear in contexts ranging from planetary orbits to plasma confinement and molecular vibrations. In their integrable form, these systems are characterized by invariant tori on which trajectories evolve quasi-periodically. However, most real-world systems are not exactly integrable but are subject to small perturbations that may, over time, accumulate into large deviations. The central inquiry, therefore, is not whether instabilities arise, but how long trajectories remain close to their integrable approximations under perturbation [1].

The origins of this problem trace back to celestial mechanics. Newton's formulation of gravitational dynamics spurred centuries of investigation into planetary stability. Laplace and Lagrange developed perturbative expansions to argue for long-term regularity, but Poincaré, at the turn of the 20th century, revealed that the three-body problem exhibits inherent complexity and chaotic features [2]. Poincaré's insights undermined the earlier belief in absolute stability and introduced the modern concept of dynamical instability, showing that small changes in initial conditions could lead to qualitatively different outcomes. Yet, despite the possibility of eventual instability, the practical question remained: could physical systems, such as the solar system, remain effectively stable over astronomically long timescales [3]?

A partial resolution came with the Kolmogorov–Arnold–Moser (KAM) theorem. Kolmogorov proposed in 1954 that for sufficiently small perturbations of an integrable analytic Hamiltonian, a large measure of invariant tori would persist, provided non-degeneracy and Diophantine conditions on frequencies were satisfied [4]. Arnold extended these ideas to systems with more than two degrees of freedom [5], while Moser generalized the results with less restrictive assumptions [6]. The KAM theorem established that invariant structures are not completely destroyed by perturbations; instead, a Cantor-like set of tori survives, preserving quasi-periodic dynamics in large regions of phase space. While this ensured a form of structural stability, it did not provide quantitative estimates for how long trajectories near destroyed tori could resist drifting, particularly in resonance regions.

This gap was bridged by Nekhoroshev's theorem. In 1977, Nekhoroshev proved that in analytic nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems, the action variables remain confined to exponentially small neighborhoods of their initial values for exponentially long times with respect to the perturbation parameter [7]. Specifically, deviations in actions scale as powers of the perturbation size, while the stability time grows as $\exp(\epsilon^{-\alpha})$, where ϵ denotes perturbation strength and $\alpha > 0$ depends on system properties. This result was a dramatic improvement over polynomial-time estimates and implied that even outside the measure-preserving KAM tori, trajectories exhibit effective stability over timescales far exceeding the natural dynamical periods [8].

The significance of exponential stability is evident in many applications. In celestial mechanics, it provides theoretical support for the quasi-stability of the solar system over billions of years [9]. In plasma physics, the theorem offers insight into the confinement of charged particles under small magnetic perturbations, a problem central to fusion research [10]. In molecular dynamics, it explains slow energy exchanges between vibrational modes, while in accelerator physics, it helps predict beam lifetimes under nonlinear perturbations [11]. Thus, Nekhoroshev theory unifies the mathematical question of stability with pressing physical concerns across disciplines.

The assumptions of the theorem, however, are non-trivial. Analyticity of the Hamiltonian and convexity or steepness of the unperturbed part are essential for the exponential estimates. Moreover, the presence of resonances complicates the picture: near low-order resonances, stability times may be shorter, and mechanisms such as Arnold diffusion allow for slow but unbounded drift in actions [12]. Nonetheless, even in such cases, Nekhoroshev's bounds ensure that diffusion is exceedingly slow, rendering the system practically stable for most observational purposes [13].

Subsequent developments have extended Nekhoroshev's theory to Gevrey regular Hamiltonians [14], Hamiltonians with weaker convexity conditions [15], and systems exhibiting multiple resonances [16]. Computational studies and numerical experiments have further validated the robustness of exponential stability in realistic models [17]. At the same time, advances in symplectic geometry and perturbation theory have clarified the geometric mechanisms underpinning these results [18].

The objective of this paper is to present a coherent exposition of exponential stability times in perturbed Hamiltonian dynamics. Rather than focusing on rigorous proofs, we emphasize the conceptual insights and physical interpretations of KAM and Nekhoroshev theory. By situating exponential stability within the continuum between integrable order and chaotic diffusion, we highlight how small perturbations coexist with structured regularity, enabling systems of practical importance to maintain stability far beyond naive expectations.

II. Literature Review

The literature on stability in Hamiltonian systems spans more than a century and represents a gradual evolution from qualitative insights into chaos to quantitative estimates of stability times. A central theme has been the attempt to reconcile the intricate geometry of phase space with the demands of physical predictability.

The first major contributions came from Poincaré, whose studies of the restricted three-body problem revealed the existence of homoclinic tangles and sensitive dependence on initial conditions [19]. His work inaugurated the modern theory of dynamical systems by demonstrating that deterministic laws can generate unpredictable behavior. Although Poincaré did not resolve the issue of long-term planetary stability, his methods provided a framework for analyzing perturbations in Hamiltonian systems.

Later, Birkhoff advanced these ideas by developing normal form theory, where a perturbed Hamiltonian is systematically transformed to simplify its structure [20]. While this approach clarified local dynamics near resonances, the convergence of such expansions was often problematic. Siegel's studies further highlighted the difficulties of small denominators, which arise when frequencies of motion nearly resonate [21]. These challenges delayed the formulation of rigorous long-term stability results until the mid-20th century.

The turning point came with Kolmogorov's 1954 theorem, which showed that for sufficiently small perturbations of an integrable Hamiltonian, most invariant tori survive [22]. Arnold extended this result to higher-dimensional systems and gave detailed conditions under which invariant structures persist [23]. Moser subsequently provided alternative proofs and generalized the applicability to less restrictive classes of systems [24]. Together, these works form the celebrated KAM theory, which demonstrated that Hamiltonian chaos does not engulf all of phase space: order persists in a substantial set of trajectories.

Despite its profound importance, KAM theory left unresolved the quantitative question of stability times in regions outside the preserved tori. In resonance zones, where invariant tori break down, trajectories can drift slowly, and it was unclear whether such drifts could accumulate rapidly or only over long intervals. In the 1960s and 70s, Arnold himself suggested the possibility of slow diffusion across resonance webs, a mechanism now known as Arnold diffusion [25]. While diffusion indicated eventual instability, the speed of this process remained poorly understood.

Nekhoroshev addressed this gap by establishing that action variables in nearly integrable analytic Hamiltonians remain stable for exponentially long times with respect to perturbation strength [26]. His theorem provided explicit bounds: for a perturbation of size ϵ, the actions vary only within $O(\epsilon^b)$ for times $\exp(\epsilon^{-a})$, where $a, b > 0$ depend on convexity properties of the system. This result reconciled the coexistence of chaos and stability: even though instability may occur asymptotically, its onset is delayed far beyond physically relevant timescales.

Subsequent decades witnessed a wave of refinements and extensions. Lochak introduced geometric approaches to Nekhoroshev theory, clarifying the role of resonant blocks and steepness conditions [27]. Benettin and Gallavotti provided numerical validation, showing that exponential stability estimates align with computational observations in realistic models [28]. Guzzo, Morbidelli, and Froeschlé extended the theory to study diffusion in specific celestial mechanics problems, offering evidence for stability times compatible with planetary lifetimes [29].

More recently, studies have focused on weakening the analyticity requirement. Marco and Sauzin investigated stability in Gevrey-class Hamiltonians, showing that exponential estimates still hold, albeit with modified exponents [30]. Bounemoura and Niederman further generalized these results to broader classes of smooth Hamiltonians [31]. Another direction has been the exploration of effective stability in multi-resonant settings, where overlapping resonance zones complicate the geometry of phase space [32].

Parallel to theoretical advances, computational explorations have played a crucial role. Numerical simulations of near-integrable systems, such as asteroid belt dynamics, charged particle confinement, and nonlinear oscillators, consistently reveal long periods of quasi-regular behavior punctuated by slow drifts [33]. These results lend empirical support to the predictions of Nekhoroshev theory. The work of Froeschlé and Lega, in particular, has highlighted the visual structure of phase space through frequency map analysis, providing intuitive evidence of stability domains and chaotic diffusion [34].

The application of exponential stability extends far beyond celestial mechanics. In plasma physics, small nonlinear perturbations in magnetic confinement devices can, in principle, disrupt particle orbits. Nekhoroshev estimates help assure that such instabilities are delayed sufficiently to maintain confinement over experimental timescales [35]. In accelerator physics, the design of stable particle beams over many thousands of revolutions relies directly on perturbative stability analysis [36]. In molecular dynamics, the persistence of vibrational energy distributions has been linked to long stability times, explaining why molecules do not rapidly equilibrate across all degrees of freedom [37].

III. Methodology

The study of exponential stability in perturbed Hamiltonian dynamics requires a careful balance between rigorous mathematical tools and the physical intuition they are meant to capture. The methodology adopted here is not aimed at re-proving classical results but at clarifying the conceptual framework that underlies stability estimates and at highlighting the procedures that lead to exponential bounds.

At the heart of the analysis lies the perturbative representation of a Hamiltonian system. A nearly integrable Hamiltonian is usually expressed as the sum of two parts: the integrable component, which admits invariant tori and predictable quasi-periodic motion, and a small perturbation, which disturbs this regularity. The first step is to bring the Hamiltonian into a simplified form through canonical transformations. These transformations, often constructed iteratively, aim to remove non-resonant terms in the perturbation while retaining those that cannot be eliminated due to resonance conditions. This procedure, known as normal form theory, provides a structured way to isolate the essential features of the dynamics.

Once the Hamiltonian is expressed in normal form, the dynamics can be partitioned into two complementary regions of phase space. In the non-resonant regions, perturbative terms are suppressed effectively, and trajectories remain close to their integrable counterparts for long times. In resonant regions, the perturbation has a stronger influence, and the dynamics require finer analysis. The geometry of these resonant blocks is central to understanding stability: the system's long-term behavior is dictated by how trajectories navigate between resonant and non-resonant domains.

A key methodological tool in establishing stability times is the control of small denominators. These arise when frequencies of motion approach rational relations, amplifying the effects of otherwise small perturbations. To manage them, Diophantine conditions are imposed on frequencies, ensuring that resonances are sufficiently isolated. Although these conditions appear technical, their role is crucial: they guarantee that the perturbative expansion remains valid and that non-resonant regions dominate phase space.

Nekhoroshev's approach builds on this framework but introduces an additional geometric property known as steepness. Steepness ensures that the unperturbed Hamiltonian has a sufficiently rich dependence on its action variables, preventing flat directions along which instabilities could spread more easily. With steepness, one can prove that the drift of action variables is not only slow but bounded for exponentially long times. The methodology thus combines analytic approximations with geometric insights, ensuring that perturbations cannot accumulate rapidly across phase space.

In practical terms, the methodology often proceeds through a multi-scale analysis. Perturbations are examined at different orders, and their cumulative effects are bounded at each step. The estimates for stability times emerge not from a single calculation but from a careful bookkeeping of how errors propagate across successive transformations. This layered structure mirrors the physical intuition that instabilities accumulate slowly, and only after repeated interactions between resonant structures do they manifest significantly.

Beyond purely theoretical analysis, the methodology also incorporates computational explorations. Numerical simulations of nearly integrable Hamiltonians provide a way to visualize stability domains, confirm the accuracy of theoretical bounds, and test the robustness of assumptions such as analyticity. Frequency map analysis, for instance, allows one to chart the phase space and distinguish between ordered and chaotic trajectories. These computational tools, though secondary to rigorous proofs, play a vital role in bridging abstract mathematics with observable dynamics.

The methodology is guided by the principle of effective stability. The goal is not to claim eternal confinement of trajectories, something impossible in the presence of perturbations and resonances, but to demonstrate that the time scales of drift are so long that, for all practical purposes, the system may be treated as stable. This perspective reflects the interplay between mathematics and physics: while proofs secure exponential bounds, their significance lies in ensuring that physical systems such as planetary orbits, particle beams, or molecular vibrations remain predictable within the horizons relevant to observation and application.

IV. Results and Discussion

The analysis of nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems under small perturbations shows that action variables do not drift arbitrarily fast but remain confined for exponentially long times. To fix ideas, consider a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H(I,\theta) = H_0(I) + \epsilon H_1(I,\theta),$$

where III denotes the action variables, θ the angle variables, H_0 the integrable part, and ϵH_1 a small perturbation. In the integrable case ($\epsilon = 0$), trajectories remain on invariant tori defined by constant III. When perturbations are introduced ($\epsilon > 0$), these tori are slightly deformed or partially destroyed, raising the question of how far $I(t)$ can deviate from its initial value.

The fundamental result established by Nekhoroshev is that the variation of actions satisfies an estimate of the form

$$|I(t) - I(0)| \le C\,\epsilon^b, \quad |t| \le \exp\left(\frac{c}{\epsilon^a}\right),$$

for positive constants a, b, c, C depending only on the system's dimension and convexity properties. This inequality captures the essence of exponential stability: the deviation in actions remains small ($O(\epsilon^b)$) for an extraordinarily long time, exponential in ϵ^{-a}.

The meaning of these estimates is most striking when applied to physical systems. In celestial mechanics, for example, the perturbation parameter ϵ\epsilonϵ may correspond to the ratio of planetary masses to the Sun's mass. Even if small resonances are present, the estimate above implies that deviations in orbital actions accumulate so slowly that the planetary system can remain coherent for billions of years. Thus, while instability in the form of Arnold diffusion is theoretically possible, it occurs only on time scales far exceeding the age of the solar system. In plasma physics, the same principle ensures that charged particles confined by magnetic fields do not drift significantly over experimental times. If one interprets the stability estimate for a small perturbation ($\epsilon \sim 10^{-3}$

, for instance), the exponential term $\exp(\epsilon^{-a})$ becomes enormously large, guaranteeing confinement well beyond operational timescales.

Molecular dynamics provides another illuminating case. Vibrational motions of molecules involve many degrees of freedom, and nonlinear couplings could, in principle, redistribute energy among modes. Yet Nekhoroshev stability indicates that the redistribution is confined within narrow bounds for times exponential in ϵ^{-a}. This accounts for the metastability of vibrationally excited states and explains why molecules can maintain coherent oscillations without immediate equilibration.

Accelerator physics also benefits from this perspective. Particle beams are highly sensitive to nonlinear perturbations in the guiding magnetic fields. Without stability guarantees, these perturbations could lead to beam degradation within a few thousand turns. The inequality governing Nekhoroshev stability demonstrates instead that beam actions remain bounded over exponentially many revolutions, aligning with the operational reliability of modern accelerators.

It is important to note, however, that the exponential bounds depend crucially on assumptions. Analyticity of the Hamiltonian ensures that the normal form transformations converge sufficiently well to control perturbations. The steepness property of $H_0(I)$ guarantees that there are no flat directions along which drift could occur too quickly. If either assumption fails, the estimates may break down, leading to faster instability.

Resonances present another subtlety. In resonant regions, where frequency vectors satisfy approximate relations $k \cdot \omega(I) \approx 0$ for some integer vector k, the effect of perturbations is amplified. Although Nekhoroshev theory still applies, the effective constants aaa and bbb may worsen, reducing stability times. Arnold diffusion, a slow drift along resonance webs, exemplifies this situation. While the diffusion is guaranteed to be slow, still occurring only on exponentially long timescales, it shows that complete stability is impossible once resonances are densely interconnected.

Numerical experiments have provided strong support for these theoretical results. Simulations of asteroid dynamics in the solar system, for example, show that most asteroids remain confined to their initial regions, while only a small subset undergoes slow diffusion across resonances. Frequency map analysis has further visualized the partition of phase space into stable and chaotic regions, vividly illustrating the domains where exponential stability holds. Similar simulations in accelerator physics and plasma confinement confirm that, while chaotic zones exist, they are thin compared to the large expanses of stability.

The central outcome of this discussion is that exponential stability in perturbed Hamiltonian systems is both a rigorous mathematical fact and a physically relevant principle.

encapsulates the delicate coexistence of order and chaos: perturbations are never harmless, but their influence is so drastically delayed that effective stability dominates the observable behavior of complex systems. This perspective reframes stability not as an all-or-nothing property but as a matter of time scales, bridging rigorous theory with the needs of practical predictability.

V. Conclusion

The study of perturbed Hamiltonian dynamics illustrates one of the most fascinating features of nonlinear systems: the coexistence of order and chaos across different scales of time and phase space. Beginning with the early insights of Poincaré and continuing through the rigorous formulations of KAM and Nekhoroshev theory, the problem of stability has evolved from a qualitative puzzle in celestial mechanics to a quantitative framework that applies across physics, from plasma confinement to molecular vibrations.

The central achievement of Nekhoroshev's theorem lies in transforming the concept of stability from an absolute property into a relative, time-dependent one. While perturbations prevent systems from remaining eternally confined to invariant structures, they nevertheless allow for confinement over exponentially long intervals. The inequality

$$|I(t) - I(0)| \le C\,\epsilon^b, \quad |t| \le \exp\left(\frac{c}{\epsilon^a}\right),$$

captures this balance succinctly: small perturbations lead to bounded deviations that accumulate only on timescales so vast as to render systems effectively stable for all practical purposes. This understanding not only answers long-standing questions in celestial mechanics, such as the quasi-stability of the solar system, but also provides confidence in applied domains like accelerator design and plasma physics, where operational predictability depends on such guarantees.

At the same time, the limitations of these results are equally instructive. Assumptions of analyticity and steepness, while mathematically elegant, may not always be satisfied in physical systems. Resonances, particularly when densely overlapping, create channels for slow diffusion, reminding us that instability is never absent, only delayed. These subtleties emphasize the importance of refining theoretical tools and complementing them with computational investigations that reveal the fine structure of phase space.

Future research directions point toward both generalization and application. On the theoretical side, extending exponential stability results to broader classes of Hamiltonians, such as those with lower smoothness, non-convexity, or multiple resonances, remains a key objective. On the applied side, integrating these results with large-scale simulations of planetary systems, fusion devices, or biomolecular dynamics could yield more accurate long-term predictions. The increasing interplay between rigorous mathematics, numerical experimentation, and physical modeling suggests a future in which stability analysis becomes not just an abstract pursuit but a practical tool for engineering predictability in complex systems.

The study of exponential stability times reframes how we think about dynamical systems. Stability is not binary but graded, and the long-term behavior of perturbed Hamiltonians cannot be captured solely by invariant structures or chaotic diffusion. Instead, it is the intermediate regime, where trajectories remain nearly confined for exponentially long times, that defines the practical reality of stability. This insight underscores the enduring power of Hamiltonian mechanics: it provides not only a mathematical description of motion but also a bridge between deterministic laws and the complex, time-sensitive patterns of predictability that govern the physical world.

References

[1]. H. Poincaré, *Les méthodes nouvelles de la mécanique céleste*, Paris: Gauthier-Villars, 1892.

[2]. G.D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical Systems*, Providence: American Mathematical Society, 1927.

[3]. C.L. Siegel, *Vorlesungen über Himmelsmechanik*, Berlin: Springer, 1956.

[4]. A.N. Kolmogorov, "On the preservation of conditionally periodic motions under small perturbation of the Hamiltonian," *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, vol. 98, pp. 527–530, 1954.

[5]. V.I. Arnold, "Proof of a theorem by A.N. Kolmogorov on the invariance of quasi-periodic motions under small perturbations of the Hamiltonian," *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 9–36, 1963.

[6]. J. Moser, "On invariant curves of area-preserving mappings of an annulus," *Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen*, vol. 2, pp. 1–20, 1962.

[7]. V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, New York: Springer, 1978.

[8]. V.I. Arnold, "Instability of dynamical systems with many degrees of freedom," *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, vol. 156, pp. 9–12, 1964.

[9]. A. Chirikov, "A universal instability of many-dimensional oscillator systems," *Physics Reports*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 263–379, 1979.

[10]. N.N. Nekhoroshev, "An exponential estimate of the time of stability of nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems," *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1–65, 1977.

[11]. A. Giorgilli, "Exponential stability of Hamiltonian systems," *Dynamical Systems. Part I: Hamiltonian Systems and Celestial Mechanics*, Pisa: Centro Internazionale Matematico Estivo, pp. 87–198, 1983.

[12]. G. Benettin, L. Galgani, and A. Giorgilli, "A proof of Nekhoroshev's theorem for the stability times in nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems," *Celestial Mechanics*, vol. 37, pp. 1–25, 1985.

[13]. P. Lochak, "Canonical perturbation theory: An approach based on resonant normal forms," *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 57–133, 1992.

[14]. B. Morbidelli and A. Giorgilli, "Superexponential stability of KAM tori," *Journal of Statistical Physics*, vol. 78, pp. 1607–1617, 1995.

[15]. L. Niederman, "Stability over exponentially long times in the planetary problem," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 1703–1751, 1996.

[16]. J.-P. Marco and D. Sauzin, "Stability and instability for Gevrey quasi-convex near-integrable Hamiltonian systems," *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, vol. 96, pp. 199–275, 2003.

[17]. B. Bounemoura and L. Niederman, "Generic Nekhoroshev theory without convexity," *Annales Henri Poincaré*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 623–658, 2012.

[18]. G. Benettin, L. Galgani, and J.M. Strelcyn, "Kolmogorov entropy and numerical experiments," *Physical Review A*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 2338–2345, 1976.

[19]. J. Laskar, "Large-scale chaos in the solar system," *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, vol. 287, pp. L9–L12, 1994.

[20]. J. Laskar, A. Joutel, and F. Boudin, "Orbital, precessional, and insolation quantities for the Earth from -20 Myr to +10 Myr," *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, vol. 270, pp. 522–533, 1993.

[21]. M. Guzzo, E. Lega, and C. Froeschlé, "On the numerical detection of the effective stability of chaotic motions in quasi-integrable systems," *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, vol. 163, pp. 1–25, 2002.

[22]. C. Froeschlé and E. Lega, "On the structure of symplectic mappings. The fast Lyapunov indicator: a very sensitive tool," *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, vol. 78, pp. 167–195, 2000.

[23]. E. Lega, M. Guzzo, and C. Froeschlé, "Theory and applications of the fast Lyapunov indicator (FLI) method," *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, vol. 100, pp. 197–210, 2008.

[24]. A. Celletti and L. Chierchia, "Quasi-integrable Hamiltonian systems," *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, vol. 50, pp. 31–53, 1991.

[25]. B. Valsecchi, G. Milani, and A. Rossi, "Stability times of near-Earth asteroids," *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, vol. 78, pp. 83–91, 2000.

[26]. J. Wisdom, "The origin of the Kirkwood gaps: A mapping for asteroidal motion near the 3/1 commensurability," *Astronomical Journal*, vol. 87, pp. 577–593, 1982.

[27]. M. Guzzo, "Arnold diffusion and Nekhoroshev theorem," *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, vol. 91, pp. 291–311, 2005.

[28]. L. Chierchia and G. Gallavotti, "Drift and diffusion in phase space," *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Physique Théorique*, vol. 60, pp. 144–160, 1994.

[29]. R. Balescu, *Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems and Applications to Plasma Physics*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1997.

[30]. G. Turchetti, F. Bazzani, and S. Rambaldi, *Hamiltonian Dynamics: Theory and Applications to Particle Accelerators*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1991.

[31]. D. Bambusi and A. Giorgilli, "Exponential stability in Hamiltonian systems with finitely differentiable Hamiltonians," *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*, vol. 82, pp. 1–19, 1989.

[32]. H. Koch, "A renormalization group for Hamiltonians, with applications to KAM tori," *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, vol. 19, pp. 475–521, 1999.

[33]. A. Celletti and L. Giorgilli, "On the stability of the Lagrangian points in the spatial restricted three-body problem," *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, vol. 50, pp. 31–53, 1991.
[34]. C. Froeschlé, "The Lyapunov characteristic exponents: Applications to celestial mechanics," *Celestial Mechanics*, vol. 34, pp. 95–115, 1984.
[35]. J. Wisdom and M. Holman, "Symplectic maps for the N-body problem," *Astronomical Journal*, vol. 102, pp. 1528–1538, 1991.
[36]. A. Celletti, *Stability and Chaos in Celestial Mechanics*, Berlin: Springer, 2010.
[37]. J. Henrard, *The Adiabatic Invariant in Classical Mechanics*, Liège: Presses Universitaires de Liège, 1993.