Quest Journals Journal of Research in Agriculture and Animal Science Volume 8 ~ Issue 3 (2021) pp: 37-44 ISSN(Online) : 2321-9459 www.questjournals.org

Research Paper



Pathogenic Bacteria found in five Fish species sold in Bittan fish market of Bhopal

Sumer Hassan and T.A. Qureshi

Department of Aquaculture and Zoology Barkatullah university Bhopal-Madhya Pradesh 462001

Scope of Study:

The present study was conducted for microbiological assessment of five available fish species collected from Bittan markets of Bhopal during different seasons of the year For this, Total Bacterial Counts (TBC), Total Coliform (TC), Fecal Coliform (FC) and the occurrence of Salmonella and Vibrio spp., were determined by using serial dilution and spread plate technique. Bacterial densities of these fishes were higher than the acceptable limits. Findings of the present study suggest that these fishes may act as reservoirs of harmful pathogenic species which creates many dangerous diseases such as cholera, typhoid etc. and the consumers should be careful about the qualities of fishes.

Key words: Pathogenic bacteria Human consumption, Fish markets,

Received 20 Mar, 2021; Revised: 02 Apr, 2021; Accepted 04 Apr, 2021 © *The author(s) 2021. Published with open access at* <u>www.questjournals.org</u>

I. INTRODUCTION

Fish is one of the best sources of proteins, vitamins, minerals and essential nutrients required for supplementing both infant and adult diets (Abdullahi 2001). Fish are extremely susceptible to microbial contamination because of their soft tissues and aquatic environment. Contamination results mainly from rupturing of fish intestine during poor processing or unhealthy washing. Millions of bacteria, many of them potential spoilers, are present in the surface slime, on the gills and in the intestines of live fish, although the flesh itself is normally sterile. Bacterial growth and invasion on the fish are prevented by the body's natural defense system during life but after death the defense system breaks down and the bacteria multiply and invade the flesh (Abolagba and Uwagbai, 2011). Microbial action has been known to play a large part in the spoilage of fish (Eyo, 2001).

Fishes become contaminated in various ways. However, the type of microorganism associated with a particular fish depends on the waterbodies it was found (Thatcher and Clark, 1973; Clucas and Ward, 1996). Fishes which live in the polluted waterbodies can easily intake these bacteria while feeding along with contaminated aquatic foods. Phytoplankton such as Anabaena variabilis and zooplankton like copepode which are the reservoir of Vibrio and Salmonella as long term and short term, respectively and fish could easily feed on them and act as a reservoir or vector for the Vibrio and Salmonella. Thus, it is important to determine the relationship of occurrence of Vibrio and Salmonella with the feeding habits.

Pathogenic bacteria associated with fish and fishery products can be categorized into three general groups: (1) Bacteria (indigenous bacteria) that belong to the natural microflora of fish (Clostridium botulinum, pathogenic Vibrio spp., Aeromonas hydrophila), Enteric bacteria that are present due to fecal contamination (Salmonella spp., Shigella spp., pathogenic Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus) Bacterial contamination during processing, storage or preparation for consumption (Bacillus cereus, Listeria monocytogenes, Staphylococcus aureus, Clostridium perfringens, Salmonella spp.) (Lyhs, 2009).

Fish is also contaminated during post-harvest activities such as poor standards of hygiene and sanitation, inadequate processing, unhygienic condition of market etc. Most of persons associated with the culture and marketing of marine fishes in Bangladesh are not well educated and having no proper knowledge about hygiene and sanitation which lead to contamination of fishes by microbes. The present study was therefore aimed to estimate the microbial load in different organs of fishes.

*Corresponding author: Sumer Hassan

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bhopal, the city of lakes, is situated at 23°16'N latitude and 77°26'E longitude. It possesses a number of small and large water bodies, which in addition of promoting aquaculture activities also add to the scenic beauty of the city. However, these water bodies are under great environmental stress due to pollution from various sources. Since last few decades, private entrepreneurs have been using these water bodies for the production of fish. Generally the polyculture of Indian and exotic major carps is being practiced in these water bodies, with high microbial load reach the market where the prevailing improper handling and unhygienic conditions make them unfit for human consumption. Bittan fish market of Bhopal was selected for the present study.

Bittan fish market

It is situated about 12 km away from Bhopal railway station. There is no shelter for this market and the fishes are sold on road side under open sky. This fish market is run under the control of Bhopal Municipal Corporation. Besides freshwater fishes, considerable quantity of marine fishes, crabs, prawns, roasted fishes, sun dried fishes and salted fishes are marketed here. On the periphery of this market is situated chicken market. The condition of this market is also extremely unhygienic.



Bittan fish market

Fish sample : Five different fishes namely *Oreochromis mossambica, Rohtee cotio, Chanda nama Mastacembelus armatus. Hemirhamphus far* were selected for the present stud

Processing of samples:

At first the samples were washed with sterile Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) to remove sand, detritus as well as microorganisms attached to the surface of fish. Then the skin, gill and gut samples from each samples were collected aseptically and homogenized separately Each of the five tubes were filled with nine milliliters of PBS solution aseptically and 1 g of homogenized tissue of each sample was mixed with 9 mL PBS solution of first tube to prepare 10-1 dilution. The 1 mL was taken from the first tube and mixed to the second test tube to prepare 10-2 dilutions. The 10-3 to 10-5 dilutions were prepared by this subsequent serial dilution technique

Inoculation of plates for enumeration of bacterial load:

The $100 \ \mu L$ from diluted solution of each sample were transferred to culture media containing petri-dish and inoculated using spread plate method for bacteriological analysis. For enumeration of total bacteria in sample fishes, nutrient agar media was used and after inoculating incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h in the incubator. Salmonella spp., were counted on SS plate after 18-24 h of incubation and colorless, transparent, with a black center colonies were considered as Salmonella.

Observations

Fishery products which are of great importance for human nutrition worldwide and provide clear health benefits (Kromhout et al., 1985) can act as a source of food borne pathogens. If the bacterial loads of fishes are

greater than acceptable limit of bacterial pathogens in fishes, those fishes are unacceptable and pose a potential risk to public health.

| Oreochromis | mossambica |
|-------------|------------|
| | |

.....

| Table – 1: Showing bacterial flora in different tissues of Oreochromis mossambica | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Bacteria | skin | Gills | Muscles | Intestine |
| Aeromonas hydrophila | 8.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 9.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 17.5x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Pseudomonas fluorescens | 10.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 4.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.4x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Streptococcus iniae | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 3.0x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 4.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 5.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 5.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 9.0x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Salmonella sp. | 4.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 7.0x10 ³ CFU/g |

| Table – 2: Showing bacterial flora in different tissues of Rohtee cotio | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Bacteria | skin | Gills | Muscles | Intestine |
| Aeromonas hydrophila | 8.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 7.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.5x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Pseudomonas fluorescens | 10.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 4.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.4x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Streptococcus iniae | 4.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 3.0x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 5.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 6.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Salmonella sp. | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 3.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 8.0x10 ³ CFU/g |

| Table – 3: Showing bacterial flora in different tissues of Chanda nama | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Bacteria | skin | Gills | Muscles | Intestine |
| Aeromonas hydrophila | 4.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 6.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 6.5x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Pseudomonas fluorescens | 10.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 3.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.4x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Streptococcus iniae | 2.0x103CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 0.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Salmonella sp. | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 4.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 6.0x10 ³ CFU/g |

| Table – 4: Showing bacterial flora in different tissues of Mastacembelus armatus | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bacteria | skin | Gills | Muscles | Intestine |
| Aeromonas hydrophila | 7.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 6.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 6.5x10 ³ CFU/g |

| Pseudomonas fluorescens | 10.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 6.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.4x103CFU/g |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Streptococcus iniae | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 2.5x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 12.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Salmonella sp. | 10.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 8.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 3.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 6.0x10 ³ CFU/g |

| Table – 5: Showing bacterial flora in different tissues of Hemirhamphus far | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Bacteria | skin | Gills | Muscles | Intestine |
| Aeromonas hydrophila | 4.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 7.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 9.5x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Pseudomonas fluorescens | 10.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 3.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.4x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Streptococcus iniae | 6.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 5.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 10.5x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 3.5x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 5.0x10 ³ CFU/g |
| Salmonella sp. | 2.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 1.0x10 ³ CFU/g | 4.0x10 ³ CFU/g |

III. CONCLUSION

Food borne pathogens are a growing concern for human illness and death (Losito et al., 2012). According to the guideline of ICMSF, acceptable limit of total bacterial counts for giant prawns and white fish are 106 and 5×105 CFU g-1, respectively. Therefore, the bacterial loads found in this study for different fishes were beyond the standard value suggested by ICMSF (1982) which indicate their unacceptability as food from public health point of view. Moreover, these fishes act as reservoirs of human pathogens which are a serious threat to the fish consuming community. Unhygienic fish handling practices of these infected fishes such as chances of cross contamination via kitchen utensils or by handling and inadequate cooking may further contribute to the spread of these pathogens. Hence, we are in urgent need to implement programmes such as HACCP as a part of Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) and Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures (SSOP) to monitor the quality of the fishes (Mandal et al., 2011)

Acknowledgements: Authors are thankful to Department of applied aquaculture and zoology Barkatullah university Bhopal for providing the necessary facilities.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed GU, Hoque MA (1999) Mycotic involvement in epizootic ulcerative syndrome of freshwater fishes of Bangladesh: a histopathological study. Asian Fish Sci 12:381–390.
- [2]. Andrew TG, Huchzermeyer KDA, Mbeha BC, Nengu SM (2008) Epizootic ulcerative syndrome affecting fish in the Zambezi river system in Southern Africa. Vet Rec 163:629–631
- [3]. Baldock FC, Blazer V, Callinan R, Hatai K, Karunasagar I, Mohan CV, Bondad-Reantaso MG (2005) Outcomes of a short expert consultation on Epizootic Ulcerative Syndrome (EUS): re-examination of casual factors, case definition and nomenclature. In: Walker P, Bondad-Reantaso MG (eds) Diseases in Asian Aquaculture V, Fish Health Section, Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, pp 555–585
- [4]. Baruah A, Saha RK, Kamilya D (2012) Inter-species transmission of epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS) pathogen, Aphanomyces invadans and associated physiological responses. Isr J Aquac Bamid 64:9 pp
- [5]. Blazer VS, Lilley JH, Schill WB, Kiryu Y, Densmore CL, Panyawachira V, Chinabut S (2002) Aphanomyces invadans in Atlantic menhaden along the east coast of the United States. J Aquat Anim Health 14:1–10
- [6]. Boys CA, Rowland SJ, Gabor M, Gabor L, Marsh IB, Hum S, Callinan RB (2012) Emergence of epizootic ulcerative syndrome in native fish of the Murray–Darling river system, Australia: hosts, distribution and possible vectors. PLoS One 7:e35568
- [7]. Callinan RB, Paclibare JO, Reantaso MB, Lumanlan-Mayo SC, Fraser GC, Sammut J (1995) EUS outbreaks in estuarine fish in Australia and the Philippines: associations with acid sulphate soils, rainfall, and Aphanomyces. In: Shariff M, Arthur JR, Subashinghe RP (eds) Diseases of Asian Aquaculture II, Fish Health Section, Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, pp 291–298

- [8]. Callinan RB, Sammut J, Fraser GC (2005) Dermatitis, branchitis and mortality in empire gudgeon Hypseleotris compressa exposed naturally to runoff from acid sulfate soils. Dis Aquat Org 63:247–253
- [9]. Campbell RE, Lilley JH, Taukhid P, Panyawachira V, Kanchanakhan S (2001) In vitro screening of novel treatments for Aphanomyces invadans. Aquac Res 32:223–233
- [10]. Chakrabarty AN, Dastidar SG (1991) Repeated isolation of chemoautotrophic nocardioform bacteria from fish epizootic ulcerative syndrome. Indian J Exp Biol 29:623–627
- [11]. Chondar SL, Rao PS (1996) Epizootic ulcerative syndrome disease to fish and its control: a review. World Aquaculture 1996, Queen Sirikit National Convention Centre, Bangkok
- [12]. Coates D, Nunn MJ, Uwate KR (1989) Epizootic ulcerative disease of freshwater fish in Papua New Guinea. Sci N Guin 15:1–11
- [13]. Costa HH, Wijeyaratne MJS (1989) Epidemiology of epizootic ulcerative syndrome occurring for the first time among fish in Sri Lanka. J Appl Ichthyol 1:48–52
- [14]. Cruz-Lacierda ER, Shariff M (1995) Experimental transmission of epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS) in snakehead, Ophicephalus striatus. In: Shariff M, Arthur JR, Subasinghe RP (eds) Diseases in Asian Aquaculture II, Fish Health Section, Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, pp 327–336
- [15]. DFID (1998) A report of the second mission to investigate epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS) in Pakistan, UK
- [16]. Dhanaraj M, Haniffa MAK, Muthuramakrishnan C, Singh SVA (2008) Microbial flora from the epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS) infected Murrel Channa striatus (Bloch, 1797) in Tirunelveli region. Turk J Vet Anim Sci 32:221–224
- [17]. Dykstra MJ, Kane AS (2000) Pfiesteria piscicida and ulcerative mycosis of Atlantic menhaden—current status of understanding. J Aquat Anim Health 12:18–25
- [18]. Dykstra MJ, Noga EJ, Levine JF, Moye DW, Hawkins JH (1986) Characterization of the Aphanomyces species involved with ulcerative mycosis (UM) in menhaden. Mycologia 78:664–672
- [19]. EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (2011) Scientific opinion on epizootic ulcerative syndrome. EFSA J 9:2387
- [20]. Egusa S, Masuda N (1971) A new fungal disease of Plecoglossus altivelis. Fish Pathol 6:41-46
- [21]. FAO (2009) Report of the international emergency disease investigation task force on a serious finfish disease in Southern Africa. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome
- [22]. Fraser GC, Callinan RB, Calder LM (1992) Aphanomyces species associated with red spot disease: an ulcerative disease of estuarine fish from eastern Australia. J Fish Dis 15:173-181
- [23]. Frerichs GN (1995) Viruses associated with the epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS) of fish in south-east Asia. Vet Res 26:449– 454
- [24]. Haines AK (1983) Fish fauna and ecology. In: Petr T (ed) The Purari—tropical environment of a high rainfall river basin. Dr W. Junk Publ, The Hague, pp 367–384
- [25]. Hanjavanit C, Suda H, Hatai K (1997) Mycotic granulomatosis found in two species of ornamental fishes imported from Singapore. Mycoscience 38:433–436
- [26]. Hargis WJ Jr (1985) Quantitative effects of marine diseases on fish and shellfish populations. Trans North Am Wildl Nat Resour Conf 50:608–640
- [27]. Hatai K (1980) Studies on pathogenic agents of saprolegniasis in freshwater fishes. Special report of Nagasaki Prefectural Institute of Fisheries No. 8, Matsugae-cho, Nagasaki, Japan
- [28]. Hatai K (1994) Mycotic granulomatosis in ayu (Plecoglossus altivelis) due to Aphanomyces piscicida. In: Roberts RJ, Campbell B, MacRae IH (eds) Proceedings of the ODA regional seminar on epizootic ulcerative syndrome. Aquatic Animal Health Research Institute, Bangkok
- [29]. Hawke JP, Grooters AM, Camus AC (2003) Ulcerative mycosis caused by Aphanomyces invadans in channel catfish, black bullhead, and bluegill from southeastern Louisiana. J Aquat Anim Health 15:120–127
- [30]. Humphrey JD, Pearce M (2004) Epizootic ulcerative syndrome (red-spot disease). DPIFM Fishnote No. 1



Mastachembelus armatus



Hemirhamphus far

.....



Pathogenic Bacteria found in five Fish species sold in Bittan fish market of Bhopal

rohtee cotio

.



Chanda nama

.....