Factors Associated With Acceptance of Colorectal Cancer Screening Among Persons Aged 50 Years and Above In Asokoro District Hospital Abuja, Nigeria

Ndagi Alhassan¹, Ezekiel Ajao¹, Sumi Yahaya Nehemiah¹, Christian Chinedu Asonye¹, Tabitha Leslie¹, Chidinma Abaribe¹

¹Babcock University School of Nursing
Ilisan Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria.
Corresponding Author: ASONYE, Christian Chinedu

ABSTRACT:

**Background and Aim:** The African continent, where colorectal cancer was once considered rare, has witnessed an unprecedented surge in its incidence, contributing to increasing mortality cases. Screening for colorectal cancer has proven effective in reducing disease mortality and is also cost-effective. Therefore, this study assessed colorectal cancer screening behaviour and its associated factors among persons aged 50 years and above in Abuja, Nigeria.

**Methods:** The study adopted a quantitative design, a cross-sectional survey method, to retrieve data from the respondents in the general outpatient department of Asokoro District Hospital Abuja. A self-designed pre-tested questionnaire with a reliability coefficient of 0.751 was distributed to 317 respondents. The statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 21 was used as a tool for data analysis. The researcher used descriptive statistics, Pearson Product Moment Correlation, and chi-square statistical analysis fixed at the 0.05 level of significance.

**Results:** The level of acceptance of colorectal cancer screening was found to be below average. There was a significant association between age, awareness of colorectal cancer and screening, family history, and the acceptance of colorectal cancer screening among the respondents with a p-value <0.05. However, gender and prior colorectal cancer screening were not significantly associated with acceptance (p >0.05).

**Conclusion:** The study concluded that the increase in early-onset colorectal cancer incidence and mortality demonstrates an obligation to take action. Earlier screening would save lives, and starting at the age of 45 years may be a robust screening option. Therefore, the researcher recommended that it is imperative to design a community-based intervention program to eliminate the situation which did not give way for colorectal cancer screening among persons aged 50 years and above.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer is considered one of the most common cancers globally, with above 1.8 million new colorectal cancer cases and 881,000 mortality estimated in 2018 (1). The global prevalence of colorectal cancer is alarmingly on the increase. Colorectal cancer ranks third among the most common cancers worldwide (2) and is one of the top five cancers prevalent in Nigeria. According to Bray et al., lung cancer is the most common cancer and the main cause of cancer death among men, followed by prostate and colorectal cancer (for incidence) and liver and stomach cancer (for mortality). Among women, breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer and the leading cause of cancer death, followed by colorectal and lung cancer (for incidence) and vice versa (for mortality) (1).

Historically colorectal cancer rates were far lower in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) than high-income countries; however, globalization has changed the game entirely in recent times (3). The African continent, where colorectal cancer was once considered rare, has witnessed an unprecedented surge in its
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incidence, contributing to increasing mortality cases. Although the incidence of colorectal cancer in Sub-Saharan Africa is generally considered to be among the lowest worldwide, there is currently a rising trend in its incidence in Africa (4).

According to Graham, Adeloye, Grant, Theodoratou, & Campbell, the crude incidence of colorectal cancer in Africa for both sexes was 4.04 per 100,000 population (4.38 for men and 3.69 for women) (5). The incidence rate of colorectal cancer in Nigeria is estimated at 3.4 per 100,000 populations (6). The incidence of colorectal cancer is gradually increasing at alarming rates in Africa, although the exact burden of disease in Nigeria remains poorly understood. Studies conducted decades ago showed marginal increases in the incidence of colorectal cancer in most African countries. Over 40 to 50 years ago, the incidence rate of colorectal cancer in West Africa was less than 3 per 100,000 population (Irabor, 2017). Nigeria in the 1960s had about 3.2 per 100,000 population (7). Later on, studies from Nigeria showed significant increases from 21 patients per year between 1954 and 1967 to 70 patients per year between 2000 and 2006 (8).

Previous studies had reported colorectal cancer to be rare among Africans (9). African fibre-rich diet and rarity of familial/hereditary colitis were thought to be protective (4). However, updated reports indicate a rising global incidence, and a study conducted in 1996 showed an 81% increase in incidence over two decades in Ibadan southwest Nigeria (10). Other reports suggest that it is the most typical gastrointestinal cancer in Lagos, Nigeria, with late presentations and poor outcome (11; 12). Screening for colorectal cancer has proven effective in reducing disease mortality and is also cost-effective (9).

Early colorectal cancer often has no symptoms, so secondary preventive measures such as early detection are necessary. Colorectal cancer may be easily prevented through colorectal cancer screening, which can detect the disease during its early stages when the survival rates are highest.

There are numerous colorectal cancer screening tools, and they are divided into two main categories, viz biological sample- based tests and colonic sample-based tests. Biological sample-based tests include faecal, blood, and urine tests. Colonic structure- based tests include flexible sigmoidoscopy (FS), colonoscopy, computed tomography (CT) colonography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) colonography, and double- contrast barium enema. Each screening tool has differing characteristics concerning the accuracy, invasiveness, interval, costs, and quality of evidence supporting its use. Stool based biological tests are guaiac- based faecal occult blood test (gFOBT), faecal immunochemical test (FIT) and the newer stool DNA test. Traditionally, stool- based testing has been used to identify patients that will need colonoscopy procedure (13; 14; 15; 16; 17; 18; 19).

Unfortunately, colorectal cancer screening acceptance is lower than that of other screening- amenable cancers worldwide (20). In Nigeria, despite the availability of resources for the diagnosis of colorectal cancer, there is a lack of consistent acceptance of colorectal cancer screening due to individual belief and specific factors.

Unfortunately, multiple factors are associated with colorectal cancer screening behaviours among person 50 years and above. Some of these factors are culturally related. However, it is essential to note that screening methods for colorectal cancers are relatively expensive, propelling people to use alternative means to treat their symptoms. In addition, low levels of knowledge about colorectal cancer screening is a potent inhibitor, as Odukoya and Fayemi report gross lack of knowledge about colorectal cancer in Nigeria, which was significantly poorer among rural-dwellers in Nigeria.

This study adopted Andersen’s behavioral model, which is widely known for verifying the accessibility to health services. The Andersen model consisted of predisposing, enabling, and need factors (21). Predisposing factors refer to basic characteristics of the population; in this study, they included age and gender. Enabling factors refers to conditions that an individual and social effort may change, and in this study, it included educational level. Need factors were the most directly related with health services use, from functional and health problems that stipulate healthcare services need (21). According to Andersen, perceived needs are “how people view their own general health and functional state, as well as how they experience symptoms of illness, pain, and worries about their health and whether or not they judge their problems to be of sufficient importance and magnitude to seek professional help” (21). In this study, they included being aware of colorectal cancer and colorectal cancer screening, having been screened of colorectal cancer screening before, and having a family history of colorectal cancer; chronic disease and disability status. Based on the ANBM and the literature reviewed, the researcher adapted the four major construct: environment, personal characteristics, health behaviour, and outcome, for use. The researcher suggests environmental (i.e., health system – Asokoro District Hospital, Abuja) and person characteristics (i.e., predisposition of people to use services (age, gender), factors that enable or impede this use (educational level), and a person’s perception of need for care (family history of colorectal cancer, prior screening, and awareness of colorectal cancer and screening)) combine to influence health behavior (i.e., health service use – acceptance of colorectal cancer screening) (Figure 1.1).
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II. METHODOLOGY

This study is a quantitative design, which adopted a descriptive cross-sectional survey method to explore colorectal cancer screening behavior and its associated factors among persons aged 50 years and above in Abuja, Nigeria. The study was carried out in Asokoro District Hospital, Abuja. The population for the study included all adults, both men and women, attending GOPD of Asokoro district hospital, Abuja. The target populations are men and women who are over 50 years old, residing in the Federal capital territory, and attending GOPD of Asokoro district hospital, Abuja. About 60 patients/clients attend the GOPD daily, resulting in an estimated value of 1800 patients/clients per month.

The inclusion criteria were all adult men and women who attend the Asokoro District Hospital, Abuja outpatient clinic for conditions other than colorectal cancer. Respondents who are 50 years and above residing in Abuja and men and women aged 50 and above who were available and gave their consent for this study was included as part of the inclusion criteria. The exclusion criteria were patients who have been previously diagnosed with colorectal cancer and patients who are not interested or do not want comprehensive data collected on them.

The Cochran formula was used in deriving at a sample size 349 (10% attrition included). A non-probability convenient sampling technique was used to select participants in the general out-patient department of Asokoro District Hospital Abuja. Data for this study was collected using a 15-item structured questionnaire adapted from Jacobs (2002). The questionnaire comprised of two sections, namely: Section A: This part consists the socio-demographic section will be used to elicit participants’ information on the Age, gender, marital status, educational level, awareness of colorectal cancer and screening, prior colorectal screening, and family history of colorectal cancer. Section B: This consists of nine (9) questions on acceptance derived from the various literatures reviewed during the course of this study. The researcher made use of 5 Likert response scale, that is, strongly agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (D), and Strongly Disagree (SD). However, respondents were measured on 36-point reference scale; with 0 as the lowest score and 4 the highest score. The maximum score attainable was “36” and the minimum was “0”. The respondents’ responses were further dichotomized and assigned a numerical score (mean) depicting their levels of acceptance for colorectal cancer screening, as follows: Poor – 0 to 9; Below Average – 10 to 17; Average – 18; Above Average – 19 to 27; and Good – 28 to 36.

The instrument was validated for both face and content by the supervisor and other expert in the field recommended by the supervisor and all necessary input and corrections will be made. The reliability was determined using Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient after pre-testing the instrument on 32 respondents in CardioCare Specialty Hospital, Garki, Abuja. The filled questionnaire was retrieved and analyzed. The internal consistency reliability using Cronbach’s Alpha (α) (section B) yielded 0.75. Information retrieved at the end of the study was coded and statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23. Descriptive statistics was applied to examine the participant’s baseline characteristics and the significant differences between demographic-characteristics. Correlation and logistic regressions analysis was further conducted to examine the factors associated with colorectal cancer screening as well as the future...
intention to undergo colorectal cancer screening.

Ethical clearance for the study was obtained from the Babcock University Health Research Ethical Committee (BUHREC). An introduction letter was obtained from the Babcock University School of Nursing to Asokoro District Hospital Health Research and Ethics Committee for approval to conduct the study. Throughout the period of data collection, participants were assured of strict confidentiality. Moreover, the participants were informed of their liberty to withdraw from the study at any time without any fear of repercussion. Informed consent form was administered to and signed by each participant.

III. RESULTS

Three hundred and seventeen (317) of the 349 eligible respondents participated in this study, thus representing about 91% response rate.

Table 3.1: Respondents Demographical Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentages (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>72.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 years above</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational qual.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal educ</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have heard of Cervical Cancer and Cervical Cancer Screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family History Colorectal Cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field study, 2021

The result of the analysis of the demographic variables of the study based on age showed that 72.2% were within age 50 and 60 years, 180 (56.7%) were female, 151 (47.6%) had tertiary education, 190 (60.0%) have heard of Colorectal cancer and Colorectal cancer screening, and 285 (90.0%) were without family history on Colorectal cancer.

Research Question One: What are the factors associated with acceptance of colorectal cancer screening among the respondents.

Table 3.2: Factors Associated with Acceptance of Colorectal Cancer Screening among the Respondents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items/Factors</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>21.098</td>
<td>.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>3.543</td>
<td>.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td>11.341</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family History of Colorectal Cancer</td>
<td>6.667</td>
<td>.042*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have heard of Colorectal Cancer and Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
<td>14.179</td>
<td>.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
<td>2.650</td>
<td>.266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field study, 2021

From table 3.2, age, educational level, family history of colorectal cancer, and awareness of colorectal cancer and colorectal cancer screening were identified as factors associated with acceptance of colorectal cancer screening among the respondents.
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Table 3.3: Level of Acceptance of Colorectal Cancer Screening among Persons Aged 50 Years and Above

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels of acceptance of colorectal cancer screening measured on 36-point reference scale</th>
<th>Category of Scores</th>
<th>Mean ±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>28 - 36</td>
<td>12.89(36%)±4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>19 - 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below average</td>
<td>10 - 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0 - 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field study, 2021

Research Question Two: What is the level of acceptance of colorectal cancer screening among persons aged 50 years and above in Asokoro District Hospital Abuja?

Table 4.3 reveal the level of acceptance of colorectal cancer screening among persons aged 50 years and above in Asokoro District Hospital Abuja measured on 36-point reference scale. The result shows that majority of the respondents’ disposition towards the acceptance of colorectal cancer screening were below average with a total mean score of 12.89 (36%).

Table 4.4: Association between Socio-Demographic Variables (Age, Gender, Educational Level, family history, awareness and prior screening) and Acceptance of Colorectal Cancer Screening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items/Factors</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>21.098</td>
<td>.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>3.543</td>
<td>.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td>11.341</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family History Colorectal Cancer</td>
<td>6.667</td>
<td>.042*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have heard of Colorectal Cancer and Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
<td>14.179</td>
<td>.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Colorectal Cancer screening</td>
<td>2.650</td>
<td>.266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field study, 2021

Testing of Hypotheses

H₀: There is no significant association between socio-demographic variables (age, gender, educational level, awareness, prior screening and family history) and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening

Table 4.4 shows factors associated with acceptance of colorectal cancer screening among the respondents. The chi-square value obtained for age was X² = 21.098, p-value = .009; educational qualification was X² = 11.341, p-value = .000; gender was X² = 3.543, p-value = .106; awareness was X² = 14.179, p-value = .001, prior screening was X² = 2.650, .266, and family history was X² = 6.667, p-value = .042 at the significant levels of less than 0.05 respectively. Therefore, age, educational status, awareness, and family history were significantly related to acceptance of colorectal cancer screening among the participants, as their p-values were less than 0.05. However, gender (X² = 3.543, p-value = .106), and prior Colorectal Cancer screening (X² = 14.179, p-value = .266) were not found to be significant associated with acceptance of colorectal cancer screening among the respondents.

IV. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The study result showed that the respondents’ dispositions towards the acceptance of colorectal cancer screening were below average. Evidences in literatures shows that low acceptance of colorectal cancer screening may be due to inadequate finance, ignorance, gullibility or fear of the examination and results (22; 6; 23; 24). Age and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening: the respondents’ age was identified as one of the predictors of participation in colorectal cancer screening. This is supported by several studies that identified a significant association between age and colorectal screening behavior (25; 26; 27).

Gender and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening: The result of this present study shows no association between gender and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening. This result is inconsistent with Huang, Choi, Chen, Wang, Ding, Jin, et al. study that shows an association between gender and acceptance, as
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female individuals were associated with reduced participation (28). Similarly, in a cross-sectional survey on a survey study on factors associated with participating in the Danish screening program for colorectal cancer by Nielsen, Berg-Beckhoff & Leppin, the study result revealed that female sex was positively associated with screening participation (29).

Educational level and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening: a significant association between the respondents’ educational level and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening was elicited in this study. Previous studies have shown a positive relationship between educational level and participation in colorectal cancer screening. Rim et al., reported low participation in colorectal cancer screening among those with low educational status in their study (30). Chouhdari et al. also submitted that a high educational level, diploma, or university degree was a predictor to participate in colonoscopy (31). This result is also in line with Ait Quakrim et al., who reported that participation in colorectal cancer screening among first-degree relatives increased significantly with the educational level (32).

Awareness of colorectal cancer and screening, and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening: present study revealed a significant association between respondents’ awareness of colorectal cancer and screening services and acceptance to of colorectal cancer screening. This is supported by previous studies that being well-informed has a positive effect on participation in CRC screening (33; 34; 35).

Prior screening and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening: The present study shows no association between prior cervical cancer screening and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening among persons aged 50 years and above in Abuja, Nigeria. This result is in contrast to a study by Luu, Lee, Lee, Suh, Kim, and Choi on the acceptance of colorectal cancer screening upper age limit in South Korea, which revealed that those who had never been screened for CRC had the highest acceptance rate (36). Overall, screening history for CRC (screened by both the fecal occult blood test and colonoscopy, and other cancers was negatively associated with acceptance of an upper age limit for CRC screening. Plumb Ghanouni, Rainbow, Djeovic, Marshall, Stein et al., retrospectively reviewed medical records of patients who had not completed colonoscopy, despite a positive screening FOBT result (37). They grouped reasons for non-attendance into broad categories, the largest of which were unwillingness to have a colonoscopy, other commitments, the belief that the FOBT test result was a false positive, or other health issues taking priority. Shields et al., as cited in Plumb et al., found that among patients in a US municipal opportunistic screening program, those with a more strongly positive FOBT result were more likely to undergo colonoscopy (37).

Family history and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening: association between the respondents’ family history of colorectal cancer and acceptance of colorectal cancer screening was elicited in the study. This result is supported by Courtney et al., who reported that having a patient with colorectal cancer in the family is a predictor for participation in colorectal cancer screening (38). This result is consistent with previous studies, showing that having more than one relative with colorectal cancer was associated with screening participation (39; 40). However, Bia et al., reported no correlation between family history for colorectal cancer and screening participation (41).

V. CONCLUSION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a major health problem worldwide. Although population-based CRC screening is strongly recommended in average-risk population, compliance rates are still far from the desirable rates. High levels of screening uptake are necessary for the success of any screening program. The increase in early-onset colorectal cancer incidence and mortality demonstrates an obligation to take actions. Earlier screening would save lives, and starting at the age of 45 years may be a robust screening option.
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