Shallow Gas Analysis in Skrugard Area, SW Barents Sea
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ABSTRACT: In 2011, Skrugard discovery made an explorational breakthrough in the south-western Barents Sea. Earlier this year, Havis discovery (well 7220/7-1) was found in the same area. Both two discoveries are found in the same production license. The double flat spots were found in both Skrugard and Harvis discoveries. However, there are many dry wells in the same area before these two discoveries. The objective of this paper is to figure out why there found commercial hydrocarbon in Skrugard and Havis rather than other areas close to them. Through seismic interpretation, shallow gas was found in the study area, and gas-flow features were divided into three main categories: gas chimney, leakage along faults and leakage along weathering crust. Furthermore, they contribute to a better understanding of the petroleum system in the South-western Barents Sea.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Barents Sea is located north part of Europe, which is the greatest shelf area surrounding the Arctic Ocean. Physiographically, the Barents Sea is the region bracketed by the north Norwegian and Russian coasts, the Novaya Zemlya, Franz Josef Land and Svalbard archipelagos, and the eastern margin of the deep Atlantic Ocean (Dore, 1995; Emmel et al, 2015). The area of Barents is 1.4 million km$^2$ with an average water depth of 230 m.

There have been drilled 95 exploration wells in the Norwegian part of the Barents Sea, but there are only three commercial oil discoveries (Goliat, Havis and Skrugard) (Ostanin et al, 2013; Wilson, 2013) and one large gas discovery (Snøhvit) (Shi et al, 2013). The success of exploration rate is very low in this area. In the Barents Sea west margin, there have been drilled less than 15 exploration wells so far, which distributed in 40,000 km$^2$ area. Comparing to this, there have been drilled 1150 wells in 170,000 km$^2$ area in North Sea. Well density is very low in Barents Sea, so it is a very frontier area now.

In 2011, Skrugard discovery (well 7220/8-1) (Fig. 1) made an explorational breakthrough in the south-western Barents Sea (Gabrielsen et al, 2013; Løseth et al, 2014). Earlier this year, Havis discovery (well 7220/7-1) was found in the same area. These two discoveries are in the same Production License (PL 532), which is operated by Statoil, ENI and Pedro. Skrugard is located on the west flank of Loppahøyd (Polheim sub-platform), a steeply sloping with narrow, rotated fault blocks towards Bjørnøyabassenget.
The southwest Barents Sea area includes the Bjørnøya basin, Sørvestsnaget basin, Tromsø basin and Harstad basin, which is a province of particularly deep Cretaceous and Cenozoic basins. Sørvestsnaget basin, Bjørnøya basin and Tromsø basins have equal rates of Early Cretaceous subsidence (Safronova et al., 2012). The Sørvestsnaget Basin subsequently showing more pronounced Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic subsidence than the Tromsø- and Bjørnøya basins (Breivik et al., 1998; Gernigon et al., 2014).

The evolution of the southern sheared margin is closely linked to the opening of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Skogseid et al., 2000). There are three main tectonic phases: 1) continent-continent transform prior to crustal break-up, 2) ocean-continent transform as the Atlantic spreading ridge propagated northwards along the shear zone, 3) a passive continental margin with no shear movement as the spreading ridge shifted still further to the north (Vågnes et al., 1997; Glørstad-Clark et al., 2011; Clark et al., 2014; Safronova et al., 2014). This margin separates the Lofoten Basin, which contain mainly Neogene sediments (Ryseth et al., 2003; Setoyama et al., 2011; Volkov et al., 2015).

The general stratigraphy of south-western Barents Sea is divided into three main successions: Paleozoic succession, Mesozoic succession and Cenozoic succession. Detailed formations and lithologic characteristic are given by Glørstad-Clark in 2010 (Fig. 2).

![Fig. 2 General stratigraphy of western Barents Sea, with geological Time scale and megasequences (modified from Glørstad-Clark et al., 2010)](image)

The petroleum system of the study area contains Late Jurassic system in Bjørnøya basin (Fig. 3) (Dengo and Røssland, 2013). For the regional aspect, the Hekkingen Formation from Late Jurassic is considered as the source rocks in SW Barents Sea (Vadakkepuliyambatta et al., 2013). Hekkingen Formation contains dark organic rich shales which were deposited in anoxic deep marine conditions, as consequence of the local barriers to circulation created by the Kimmerian movements (Dalland et al., 1988; Boitsov et al., 2011; Rajan et al., 2013). The most significant reservoir rocks in the study area are in the strata of Jurassic age, and the major discoveries have a principal reservoir rock of Stø Formation from Lower to Middle Jurassic. It is believed that 85% of the reservoir rocks exist within the Stø Formation in the Norwegian Barents Sea, and most of them are expected to generate natural gas (Dore, 1995; Duran et al., 2013). The Stø Formation consists of mature sandstones with thin beds of shale and siltstone. The depositional environment for Stø Formation is in prograding coastal areas, and shales and siltstone patches depicts regional transgressive episodes (Dalland et al., 1988; Duran et al., 2013).
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II. SEISMIC INTERPRETATION

The study area is located across the Loppa High (including Polhem Sub-platform) and Bjørnøya Basin (Fig. 4). In order to figure out the analysis and discuss the petroleum system in this area, the adjacent structural elements should be described here. The Skrugard fault is one of the parts of the Bjørnøyrenna Fault Complex, and it will be considered as one of the aspects in forming Skrugard oil province.

**Fig. 4** The location of the structural elements adjacent to the study area (red outline)

Occurrence of shallow gas, gas hydrates and seafloor expulsion features has been reported from several areas of the SW Barents Sea (Andreassen et al, 1990; Perez-Garcia et al, 2009; Chand et al, 2012; Ostanin et al., *Corresponding Author: Zhaolong Liu*
2012; Vadakkepuliyambatta et al, 2015). In the study area, there found many gas-leakage anomalies after the interpretation. Gas-flow features interpreted in this paper were divided into three main categories: 1) gas chimney, 2) leakage along faults and 3) leakage along weathering crust.

2.1 Gas Chimney
The first key profile line is F-86-301 (Fig. 5), which is a NE-SW trending 2D seismic line located at the boundary zone of Bjørnøya Basin and Loppa High. There are many wells (well 7219/9-1, 7220/7-1, 7220/5-1) close to this line, it means line F-86-301 contains many different geological structures. Furthermore, Havis discovery has already been reported found in well 7220/7-1, but 7219/9-1 was abandoned as a dry well. Interpretation of this line may give some ideas about why these two close wells have different oil shows.

From key profile 1, there exists an obvious zone with chaotic acoustic signals or so called acoustic masking. This chaotic acoustic zones distributed widely and the shape became small gradually with depth reducing. This anomalous zone terminated at the high-amplitude anomalies in the shallow formation that suggests the presence of gas. All these indicates that this anomalous zone can be interpreted as gas chimney. Gas chimney is defined as a region of distorted seismic signals resulting from irregularly distributed low-velocity gas-charged zones, formed due to an upward migration of gas/fluids.

2.2 Leakage along faults
There exists many chaotic reflections and high amplitude anomalies close to the faults in the study area. From the key profile 2 (Fig. 6), highly chaotic and low-amplitude reflections occur close to the root of the major faults, suggesting fluid migration from a much deeper source and branching of fluid migration along the main faults. At the termination of the faults, high amplitude anomalies are visible which indicate the presence of the gas.

2.3 Leakage along weathering crust

*Corresponding Author: Zhaolong Liu
For the gas leakage along faults situation, the faults open is assumed and then gas can migrate along the faults from the deep source. But if the faults are assumed to be sealed, gas cannot migrate along the faults. Here, it is proposed that gas leakage along weathering crust. From key profile 3 (Fig. 7), an angular unconformity is visible. Over the fault there is high amplitude anomaly what is so called bright spot which is suggested as gas there. For the analysis above, if gas migrates along faults, there should be a high amplitude anomalies zone rather than only one bright spot. In this area, gas was assumed to migrate along weathering crust. When formation weathered and eroded after lifting, there can form good condition spaces and connections after new formation form and cover it. Gas then can migrate along this weathering or unconformity.

Fig. 7 Key Profile 2- 2D Seismic Line F-86-205 and gas leakage along weathering crust

III. DISCUSSION

In the south-western Barents Sea, the most significant reservoir rocks are in the strata of Jurassic age, and the major discoveries have a principal reservoir rock of Stø Formation from Lower to Middle Jurassic. For the regional aspect, the Hekkingen Formation from Late Jurassic is considered as the source rocks in SW Barents Sea. Hekkingen Formation is very thick, and it can generate significant quantities of hydrocarbons and extends regionally in the Barents Sea. Early Jurassic Nordmela and Tubaen Formations and Early and Mid Triassic Formations, Snadd, Kobbe, Klappmyss and Havert formations

The study area is in the Bjørnøya basin, which contains the Late Jurassic petroleum system. The Stø Formation from Jurassic age acts as the reservoir, the Snadd Formation acts as the source rock and the Hekkingen Formation act as the sea rock. There are many structure traps related to the faults in the study area, and there seems many potential traps containing hydrocarbon.

Based on the seismic interpretation, the three possible reasons for these dry wells are 1) Gas Chimney 2) leakage along faults and 3) leakage along weathering crust. Migration of fluids into shallow sediments and seepage into the ocean through the seafloor was probably the result of spillage and migration of hydrocarbons in response to uplift and erosion processes in the Cenozoic. These results are a consequence of the complicated geological history of the Barents Sea that includes several periods of exhumation and erosion, causing re-distribution of hydrocarbons within the basin.
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