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ABSTRACT:- little work has been done in minimizing total waiting time for obtaining on optimal schedule of jobs. The waiting time is to be important for scheduling job on the machines. The idea of minimizing the waiting time or cost may be an economical expression from industry/factory manager’s point of view however this minimization of total waiting time is more important to economize. The operation of jobs on machine in order to reduce the final costs of product in the open market completion. One of the earliest results in flow shop scheduling theory is an algorithm by S.M. Jonson (1954) for scheduling jobs in two machine flow shop to minimizing the time at which all jobs are completed. The concept of equivalent job for a job block has been recently inducted into scheduling theory by Maggu and Das (1977). Maggu and Das (1980) first time introduced the decomposition algorithm for determining an optimal schedule for the 2-machine n-job flow shop scheduling problem involving transportation time of jobs. These machine flow shop problem which have separately found techniques to minimize total impress on inventory time for all jobs was faintly introduced by Ikram(1977) and two machine flow shop problem in which concept of transportation time and job block have been separately introduced by Shohmm Singh (1989) Mahabir Singh (1979). M-Machine flow shop scheduling model has been studied by Maggu, Das and Singhal (1981). Ikram & Thahir Husain (2006) consider a special type of n – jobs 2 machine sequence with criteria of obtaining optimal sequences.
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I. MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

Here a heuristic approach has been devised to give optimal solution for the problem.

1 – Statement of the problem n -Job proceed through two machines A and B in order AB with processing time \( A_i \) and \( B_i \). These machines are to be set up at distant places so that a job after completion of machine A with \( t_i \) > 0 for job I before it starts processing on the machine B. There are two jobs \( A_k \) and \( A_k+1 \) which are operated as job block equivalent to a signal job \( \beta = (A_k, A_k+1) \). The problem is to find an optimal schedule rule minimizing the total waiting time for all jobs. This problem must satisfy the following condition according to Ikram (1977) \( \text{Max} (A_i + t_i) \leq \text{Min} (B_i + t_i) \) The problem is also investigating a numerical procedure to obtain a sequence of jobs. The optimal algorithm has been described as consequences of equivalent job for job block theorem due to Maggu and Das (1977) which is proved as follows:

I(A) – Theorem

Equivalent job for a job block theorem due to Maggu and Dass (1977) in two machine flow shop problem

In processing a schedule \( S = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{k-1}, A_k, \ldots, A_n) \) of n-job on two machine A & B in order AB with no passing allowed. The job block \( (A_k, A_{k+1}) \) having processing times \( (A_{A_k}, B_{A_k}, A_{A_k+1}, B_{A_k+1}) \) is equivalent to the single job \( \beta \) where \( \beta \) is equivalent job. Now the processing times of job \( \beta \) on the machines A and B denoted respectively by \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) are given by

\[ A_{\beta} = A_{A_k} + A_{A_k+1} = \text{Min} (B_{A_k}, A_{A_k+1}) \]
\[ B_{\beta} = B_{A_k} + B_{A_k+1} = \text{Min} (B_{A_k}, A_{A_k+1}) \]

Proof: Let \( T_{pq} \) denote the completion time of job p on machine q for the given sequence s, we consider the following relations
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\[ T_{0k}B = \max (T_{0k}A, T_{0k-1}B) + B_{0k} \]
\[ = \max (T_{0k}A + B_{0k}, T_{0k-1}B + B_{0k}) \]
\[ T_{0k+1}B = \max (T_{0k+1}A, T_{0k}B) + B_{0k+1} \]
\[ = \max (T_{0k+1}A + B_{0k}, T_{0k}A + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}) \]
\[ = \max (T_{0k+1}A + B_{0k}, T_{0k}A + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}, T_{0k+1}B + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}) \]

Now \( T_{0k+1} = T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} \)

We have
\[ T_{0k+2}B = \max (T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + B_{0k+1}, T_{0k}A + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1} + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}) \]
\[ = \max (T_{0k+2}A, T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + B_{0k+1}, T_{0k}A + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}, T_{0k+1}B + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}) + B_{0k+2} \]

Now it is obvious that
\[ T_{0k+2}A = T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + A_{0k+2} \]

Hence
\[ T_{0k+2}B = \max (T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + A_{0k+2}) \]
\[ = \max (T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + B_{0k+1}, T_{0k}A, B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}, T_{0k+1}B + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}) + B_{0k+2} \]

Since max
\[ = (T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1}, B_{0k+1}, T_{0k} + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}) \]
\[ T_{0k}A + \max (A_{0k+1}, B_{0k}) \]

Therefore, we have
\[ T_{0k+2}B = \max \left[ (T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + A_{0k+2}, T_{0k}A + \max (A_{0k+1}, B_{0k}), B_{0k+1}, T_{0k+1}B + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1}) + B_{0k+2} \right] \]

Now a sequence \( S' \) as
\[ S' = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{q+1}, a_{q+2}, \ldots, a_n) \]
\[ A_p = A_{0k} + A_{0k+1} - C \]
\[ B_p = B_{0k} + B_{0k+1} - C \]

Let \( T'_{\beta}B \) denote the completion time of job \( p \) on machine \( q \) in the sequence \( S' \) so that
\[ T'_{\beta}B = \max (T'_{\beta}A, T'_{0k-1}B) + B_{\beta} \]
\[ = \max (T'_{\beta}A + B_{\beta}, T'_{0k-1}B + B_{\beta}) \]
\[ T'_{0k+2}B = \max (T'_{0k+2}A + T'_{\beta}B) + B_{0k+2} \]
\[ = \max (T'_{0k+2}A + T'_{\beta}A + B_{\beta}, T'_{0k+1}B + B_{\beta}) + B_{0k+2} \]

obvious that
\[ T'_{0k+2}A = T'_{0k+1}A + A_{\beta} + A_{0k+2} \]
\[ T'_{0k+1}A = A_{\beta} + A_{0k+1} + C + A_{0k+2} \]
\[ (As \ T'_{0k-1}A = T_{0k-1}A) \]

\[ T'_{\beta}A = T'_{0k-1}A + A_{\beta} + A_{0k+1} + C \]

Using (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) we have
\[ T'_{0k+2}B = \max (T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + C + A_{0k+2}, T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + C + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1} - C, T_{0k}A + B_{0k+1} + C + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1} + C) + B_{0k+2} \]

Let \( C = \min (A_{0k+1}, B_{0k}) \)

Then
\[ A_{0k+1} + C + B_{0k} = A_{0k+1} - \min (A_{0k+1}, B_{0k}) \]
\[ = \max (A_{0k+1}, B_{0k}) \]

\[ T'_{0k+2}A = \max (T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + A_{0k+2} - C) \]
\[ = T_{0k}A + A_{0k+1} + A_{0k+2} - C \]
\[ = T_{0k}B + B_{0k} + B_{0k+1} + C + B_{0k+2} \]
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\[ \text{Hence from (1) & (12) we have} \]
\[ T'_{ak+1} = T_{ak+1} - (\alpha \cdot r_{A} A) \]

From equations (13) & (14) it is clear that replacement of job block \((\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k+1})\) in \(S\) by block \(\beta\) decreases the completion time on both the machine of the later job \(\alpha_{k+2}\) by a constant \(C\) in \(S'\) as compared to the job \(\alpha_{k+2}\) in \(S\). Let \(T\) & \(T'\) both completion times of sequences \(S\) and \(S'\) respectively. Then from the above discussion it is that \(T' = T - C\), hence where \(\beta\) replaces jobs \((\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k+1})\) in any sequence \(S\) to produce. A new sequence \(S'\) then completion times on all the machines are changed by a value which is independent of the particular sequence hence the substitution does not change the relative merit of different sequence hence job \(\beta\) is equivalent job for job block \((\alpha_{u}, \alpha_{u+1})\).

1(B) – Theorem

Let \(n\) jobs \(1, 2, 3, \ldots n\) be processed through two machines \(A, B\) in over \(AB\) with no passing allowed that satisfying processing times structural relationship.

Max \(t_{IA} \leq \text{Min} t_{IB}\)

Where \(t_{ix}\) is the processing time of job \(i\) on machine \(X(=A, B)\); \((i=1, 2, 3, \ldots n)\) then for any \(n\) job sequences \(S: a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \ldots a_{n}\) the total waiting \(T_{w}\) is given by

\[ T_{w} = \text{Max} (T_{a_{1}A} + A_{a_{2}A} + A_{a_{3}A} + \ldots \text{Max} (A_{a_{k+1}A}, B_{a_{k}A} + B_{a_{k+1}A}) + B_{a_{k+2}A}) \]

\[ \text{Max} = \text{Max} (A_{a_{k+1}A}, B_{a_{k}A} + B_{a_{k+1}A}, T_{a_{k+1}B} + A_{a_{k+1}B} + A_{a_{k+2}B} + C) \]

\[ \text{Hence from (1) & (12) we have} \]
\[ T'_{ak+1}B = T_{ak+1}B - C \]

From (2) & (6) it is obvious that

\[ T_{ak+2}A = T'_{ak+2}A - C \]

Let \(n\) jobs \(1, 2, 3, \ldots n\) be processed through two machines \(A, B\) in over \(AB\) with no passing allowed that satisfying processing times structural relationship.

Max \(t_{IA} \leq \text{Min} t_{IB}\)

Where \(t_{ix}\) is the processing time of job \(i\) on machine \(X(=A, B)\); \((i=1, 2, 3, \ldots n)\) then for any \(n\) job sequences \(S: a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \ldots a_{n}\) the total waiting \(T_{w}\) is given by

\[ T_{w} = \text{Max} (T_{a_{1}A} + A_{a_{2}A} + A_{a_{3}A} + \ldots A_{a_{n}A}) \]

\[ X_{w} = t_{wA} - t_{wB}, \quad \alpha \in (1, 2, 3, \ldots n) \]

Lemma (i) with the notation of theorem for the \(n\) job sequence

\[ S = (a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \ldots a_{n}) \]

\[ T_{wB} = t_{wA} + \text{Max} \rightarrow t_{wA} \]

Where \(T_{pq}\) is the completion time of job \(p\) on machine \(q\).

Lemma (ii) with the same notation as that of Lemma (i) we now prove that for \(n\) job sequence

\[ a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \ldots a_{n} \]

\[ Y_{a_{1}} = 0 \]

\[ Y_{a_{k}} = t_{a_{1}A} + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} X_{wB} - X_{a_{k}A} \quad (K=2, 3, 4 \ldots n) \]

Where \(Y_{a_{k}}\) is the waiting time of job \(a_{k}\) for sequence \(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \ldots a_{n}\)

Now we have

\[ Y_{a_{1}} = U_{a_{1}B} - T_{a_{1}A} - T_{a_{1}A} = 0 \]

\[ Y_{a_{k}} = U_{a_{k}B} - T_{a_{k}A} \]

\[ Y_{a_{k}} = \text{Max} (T_{a_{k-1}B}, T_{a_{k}A}) - T_{a_{k}A} \]

\[ = \text{Max} (t_{a_{1}A} + t_{a_{2}A} + t_{a_{2}B} + \ldots t_{a_{k-1}B} + t_{a_{k}A} + t_{a_{2}A} + t_{a_{3}A} + \ldots t_{a_{k}A}) \]

\[ = t_{a_{1}A} + t_{a_{2}A} + t_{a_{2}B} + \ldots t_{a_{k-1}B} + t_{a_{k}A} + t_{a_{2}A} + \ldots t_{a_{k}A} \]

Now we able to proof of the main theorem as follow

From lemma (ii)

\[ Y_{a_{1}} = 0 \]

When \(k=2, k=1=1\)

\[ Y_{a_{2}} = t_{a_{1}A} + X_{wA} - t_{a_{2}A} \]

\[ = t_{a_{1}A} + X_{wA} - t_{a_{2}A} \]

When \(k=n, k=1=n-1\)

\[ Y_{a_{n}} = t_{a_{1}A} + X_{wA} - t_{a_{2}A} \]

\[ Y_{a_{n}} = t_{a_{1}A} + X_{wA} - t_{a_{2}A} \]

\[ = t_{a_{1}A} + X_{wA} - t_{a_{2}A} \]

Hence total waiting time

\[ T_{w} = Y_{a_{1}} + Y_{a_{2}} + \ldots + Y_{a_{n}} \]
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\[ T_w = 0 + (τ_A + X_{a1} - τ_A) + (τ_A + X_{a2} + X_{a3} - t_A) + (τ_A + X_{a4} + X_{a5} - X_{a6} - τ_A) \]

\[ T_w = (τ_A + τ_A + τ_A) - (n-1) \sum (τ_A + X_{a1} + \ldots + t_A) \]

\[ + (X_{a2} + X_{a3} - τ_A) - \ldots - (n-2) \sum (τ_A + τ_A - \ldots - τ_A) \]

\[ T_w = (n-1) \cdot τ_A + n \cdot t_A + (n-2) \cdot X_{a1} + \ldots + X_{a2} + \ldots - \sum (t_A - t_A) \]

\[ T_w = nτ_A + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-r)X_{ar} - \sum t_i \]

### II. NOTATIONS

\( n \) = number of jobs
\( m \) = machines A, B
\( T_{ij} \) = processing time of \( i_{th} \) job on \( j_{th} \) machine
\( A_i \) = processing time of \( i_{th} \) job on machine A
\( B_i \) = processing time of \( i_{th} \) job on machine B

\( B = \) Equivalent job for the given job- block \((a_k, a_{k+1})\)

\( T_w \) = Total waiting time

### III. HEURISTIC APPROACH

To solving the problem of minimizing the total waiting time for all jobs we can use following steps.

**Step 1:** By the definition of Maggu and Dass (1977) or operator \( oiw \) to find processing time for the job \( β \) where \( β \) is the equivalent job for the given job blocks \((a_k, a_{k+1})\)

**Step 2:** Define \( T_{ij} = (τ_A + t_{A+1}) \)

**Step 3:** Define a new problem from the step 1 by replacing the two jobs \((a_k, a_{k+1})\) by the single job \( β \) with processing time as in step 1 and \( t_i \) defined as per step 2.

**Step 4:** Define a new problem from step 3, with processing time \( A_i, B_i \) given by

\[ A_i' = A_i + t_i \]

**Step 5:** Use Ikram method (1977) to solve the new reduced problem in step 4 to find an optimal or near optimal schedule is minimizing the total waiting time for all jobs.

**Step 6:** The optimal schedule in step 5 is optimal or near optimal for the original problem when \( β \) is replaced back by the jobs \((a_k, a_{k+1})\) if there are more than one optimal or near optimal sequence then choose that sequence as optimal or near optimal sequence which corresponds to the most minimum total waiting time \( T_w \) for all jobs. Now we can find total waiting time for all jobs by using usual method.

#### 3.1 – Numerical illustration

**Example:** Consider the following job scheduling problem with processing time the matrix as follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Transportation time</th>
<th>Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>A_i</td>
<td>t_i</td>
<td>B_i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 1.1 |

With equivalent job \( β = (2,5) \)

By Step 1 \( β = (2,5) = (a_k, a_{k+1}) \)

Where \( a_k = 2, a_{k+1} = 5 \)

Using Maggu and Dass (1977) techniques to find processing time for job blocks as follows

\[ A_β = A_2 + A_5 - \text{Min}(B_2A_3) \]

\[ = 6 + 15 - \text{Min}(18, 10) \]

\[ = 21-10=11 \]

\[ A_β = 11 \]

\[ B_β = B_2 + B_5 - \text{Min}(B_2A_3) \]

\[ = 18 + 16 - \text{Min}(18, 10) \]

\[ = 34 - 10 = 14 \]

\[ B_β = 14 \]
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By Step 2
The new reduced problem is

\[ T_\beta = \text{Max } (t_2, t_3) \]

= Max (3, 2)
= 3

By Step 3: The new problem is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Transportation time</th>
<th>Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A_i</td>
<td>t_i</td>
<td>B_i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2

By Step 4: The problem with new processing time \( A_i', B_i' \) can be defined from step 3 as follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Transportation time</th>
<th>Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( A_i' = A_i + t_i )</td>
<td>( t_i )</td>
<td>( B_i' = B_i + t_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3

By Step 5: Using Ikram method to find the optimal sequence for the problem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( A_i' = A_i + t_i )</td>
<td>( B_i' )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.4

The optimal schedule can be obtained 1, 3, 4, \( \beta \)
\[ \text{Min } (A_i') = 7 \]
1, 3, 4, \( \beta \) is required optimal sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By Step 6:
Total waiting time for sequence S: \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \ldots \ldots a_n \) is now given by modified Ikram formula

\[ T_w = nAa_1 + Za_{11} + Za_{22} + Za_{33} - \sum \ldots \ldots - Za_{n-1,n-1} \]

Therefore optimal schedule 1, 3, 4, 2, 5
\[ T_w = 35 + 36 + 6 + 7 - 40 = 70 \]

IV. CONCLUSION

The model presented in the section is near to real time of left communication Our study provides a guideline to be system based on optimal continue policy this problem may be generalized by taking 2-machine, 5 jobs.
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